

Exhibit 76:

Jami Selden-Manor Deposition
Transcript



(248)608-9250 Fax (844)270-7115
www.tri-countycourtreporters.com
depos@tricityreporters.com

Transcript of the Testimony of
Selden-Manor, Jami

Date: June 12, 2023

Volume:

Case: JOHN DOES A, et al. v. GRETCHEN WHITMER, et al.

Printed On: June 26, 2023

Selden-Manor, Jami
6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

<p>UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION</p> <p>JOHN DOES A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, MARY DOE and MARY ROE, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, vs. Case No. 2:22-cv-10209 Hon. Mark A. Goldsmith Mag. Curtis Ivy, Jr. GRETCHEN WHITMER, Governor of the State of Michigan, and COL. JOSEPH GASPER, Director of the Michigan State Police, in their official capacities, Defendants.</p> <hr/> <p>The Deposition of JAMI SELDEN-MANOR, Taken via videoconference, DeWitt, Michigan, Commencing at 9:01 a.m.,</p> <p>Page 1</p>	<p>1 The Deposition of JAMI SELDEN-MANOR, 2 Taken via videoconference, 3 DeWitt, Michigan, 4 Commencing at 9:01 a.m., 5 Monday, June 12, 2023, 6 Before Heather DeMar, RPR, CSR-8951. 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25</p> <p>Page 2</p>
<p>1 APPEARANCES 2 3 DAYJA TILLMAN - P86526 4 and MIRIAM AUKERMAN - P63165 5 American Civil Liberties Union of Michigan 6 1514 Wealthy Street SE, Suite 260 7 Grand Rapids, MI 49506 8 616.301.0930 9 Appearing on behalf of the Plaintiffs. 10 11 12 SCOTT DAMICH - P74126 13 Michigan Department of Attorney General 14 525 West Ottawa Street 15 Lansing, Michigan 48933 16 517.335.7573 17 Appearing on behalf of the Defendants. 18 19 20 ALSO PRESENT: 21 AIMEE BRIMACOMBE - MICHIGAN STATE POLICE REPRESENTATIVE 22 23 24 25</p> <p>Page 3</p>	<p>1 TABLE OF CONTENTS 2 3 Witness Page 4 JAMI SELDEN-MANOR 5 6 EXAMINATION 7 BY MS. TILLMAN: 10 8 9 INDEX TO EXHIBITS 10 11 Exhibit Page 12 (Exhibits attached to transcript.) 13 14 DEPOSITION EXHIBIT A 43 15 DEPOSITION EXHIBIT B 53 16 DEPOSITION EXHIBIT C 80 17 DEPOSITION EXHIBIT D 85 18 DEPOSITION EXHIBIT E 89 19 DEPOSITION EXHIBIT F 93 20 DEPOSITION EXHIBIT G 99 21 DEPOSITION EXHIBIT H 102 22 23 24 25</p> <p>Page 4</p>

Selden-Manor, Jami

6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

<p>1 DeWitt, Michigan</p> <p>2 Monday, June 12, 2023</p> <p>3 9:01 a.m.</p> <p>4</p> <p>5 JAMI SELDEN-MANOR,</p> <p>6 was thereupon called as a witness herein via</p> <p>7 videoconference, where all parties stipulate to the</p> <p>8 witness having first been duly sworn in remotely to</p> <p>9 testify to the truth, the whole truth and nothing but</p> <p>10 the truth, was examined and testified as follows:</p> <p>11 MS. TILLMAN: So good morning, my name is</p> <p>12 Dayja Tillman. I'm an attorney for the plaintiffs in</p> <p>13 this matter and I'll be conducting your deposition</p> <p>14 this morning. Before we --</p> <p>15 THE WITNESS: Good morning.</p> <p>16 MS. TILLMAN: Good morning. Before we jump</p> <p>17 in, I wanted to kind of explain the purpose of today's</p> <p>18 deposition. As you probably know, it's a 30(b)(6)</p> <p>19 deposition. So you've been designated by your</p> <p>20 organization to speak on its behalf about matters</p> <p>21 relevant to this litigation that were specifically</p> <p>22 outlined in the Notice of deposition that you should</p> <p>23 have received.</p> <p>24 So you're here today just to share the</p> <p>25 knowledge that the organization has about those topics</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 5</p>	<p>1 listed in that Notice. Does that make sense?</p> <p>2 THE WITNESS: Yes.</p> <p>3 MS. TILLMAN: Perfect. Perfect. And so,</p> <p>4 have you ever had your deposition taken before?</p> <p>5 THE WITNESS: On a personal matter.</p> <p>6 MS. TILLMAN: On a personal matter. When</p> <p>7 was that?</p> <p>8 THE WITNESS: Around 2016.</p> <p>9 MS. TILLMAN: And it was a civil case?</p> <p>10 THE WITNESS: Yes.</p> <p>11 MS. TILLMAN: Perfect. Perfect. And so,</p> <p>12 just -- I'm going to go over some basic rules just in</p> <p>13 terms of the deposition, just in case you might have</p> <p>14 -- you may already know, you know, these rules, I want</p> <p>15 to put the reminders out there just so it's helpful</p> <p>16 for the way that the deposition proceeds.</p> <p>17 So the first thing is I'll ask you to</p> <p>18 respond to my questions verbally. The court reporter</p> <p>19 can't take down non-verbal gestures or head nods. So</p> <p>20 even if it's a yes or no, it's usually best to answer</p> <p>21 verbally, if that makes sense.</p> <p>22 THE WITNESS: You're cutting out. I only</p> <p>23 got half of that. Was that my end?</p> <p>24 MS. TILLMAN: Was I cutting out for</p> <p>25 everyone else? I can just repeat it just in case it</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 6</p>
<p>1 was your mic on your end.</p> <p>2 THE WITNESS: Thank you.</p> <p>3 MS. TILLMAN: So the first thing, first</p> <p>4 rule is just make sure you respond to my questions</p> <p>5 verbally. Because the court reporter can't take down</p> <p>6 non-verbal gestures or head nods or anything like</p> <p>7 that. If it's a yes or no question, it's best to</p> <p>8 answer verbally. Does that make sense? Did you hear</p> <p>9 it that time?</p> <p>10 THE WITNESS: You're freezing up on my end.</p> <p>11 I might have to go to a different connection. Let me</p> <p>12 go to my hotspot.</p> <p>13 MS. TILLMAN: I assume it was okay for</p> <p>14 everybody else still.</p> <p>15 THE WITNESS: I'm assuming it's mine. I --</p> <p>16 it just came up that it was freezing up. So we have</p> <p>17 some bad weather here and we're on satellite so bear</p> <p>18 with me.</p> <p>19 MR. DAMICH: Off the record.</p> <p>20 MS. TILLMAN: No problem.</p> <p>21 (Off the record 9:04 a.m.)</p> <p>22 (Back on the record at 9:04 a.m.)</p> <p>23 MS. TILLMAN: Back on the record. So</p> <p>24 just -- the first of the ground rules is just to try</p> <p>25 your best to respond verbally, because the court</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 7</p>	<p>1 reporter can't take down non-verbal gestures and head</p> <p>2 nods. Does that make sense?</p> <p>3 THE WITNESS: Yes.</p> <p>4 MS. TILLMAN: Perfect. And the second</p> <p>5 ground rule is it's important that we don't talk over</p> <p>6 each other. The court reporter is taking down every</p> <p>7 word that we're saying. So it's a lot easier for her</p> <p>8 to keep up with what we're saying if we are all</p> <p>9 speaking at once. Sorry, my computer just started</p> <p>10 going off. I'll try my best to not talk over you and</p> <p>11 hopefully you can do the same.</p> <p>12 And then third, I may ask you a question,</p> <p>13 at that point you need some clarification. If that</p> <p>14 happens, can you just ask me to clarify or rephrase my</p> <p>15 question and I'm -- I can try my best to do so.</p> <p>16 THE WITNESS: Yes.</p> <p>17 MS. TILLMAN: Perfect. And if you answer a</p> <p>18 question, is it fair to assume you understood it?</p> <p>19 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, what was that?</p> <p>20 MS. TILLMAN: If you answer a question, is</p> <p>21 it fair to assume you understood the question?</p> <p>22 THE WITNESS: Yes.</p> <p>23 MS. TILLMAN: Perfect. And throughout the</p> <p>24 deposition today, your counsel may object to a</p> <p>25 question that I have asked. Unless he instructs you</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 8</p>

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

Selden-Manor, Jami

6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

<p>1 not to answer the question, you should usually still</p> <p>2 answer the question. Does that make sense?</p> <p>3 THE WITNESS: Okay. Yes.</p> <p>4 MS. TILLMAN: Perfect. And finally --</p> <p>5 THE WITNESS: It's still coming up that my</p> <p>6 bandwidth is low. So I'm not really sure. I'm on a</p> <p>7 hotspot. There shouldn't be a problem. If I freeze</p> <p>8 up, please let me know.</p> <p>9 MS. TILLMAN: For sure. Thank you for</p> <p>10 letting us know. Finally, if you at any point during</p> <p>11 the deposition -- I don't intend this deposition to be</p> <p>12 very long. If at some point you do need a break, you</p> <p>13 should just feel free to ask for one.</p> <p>14 The only thing I ask is if there's a</p> <p>15 pending question out there, you just answer that</p> <p>16 question first and then we take a break. Does that</p> <p>17 sound fair?</p> <p>18 THE WITNESS: Yes.</p> <p>19 MS. TILLMAN: Perfect. Perfect. And since</p> <p>20 we're doing this by Zoom, I did want to clarify, where</p> <p>21 are you located today?</p> <p>22 THE WITNESS: DeWitt, Michigan.</p> <p>23 MS. TILLMAN: And is there anything in</p> <p>24 front of you that you might be referring to over the</p> <p>25 course of the deposition?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 9</p>	<p>1 THE WITNESS: I only got half of that, I'm</p> <p>2 sorry.</p> <p>3 MS. TILLMAN: No problem. Is there</p> <p>4 anything in front of you at the moment that you might</p> <p>5 be referring to over the course of the deposition?</p> <p>6 THE WITNESS: No.</p> <p>7 MS. TILLMAN: Gotcha. And is there anyone</p> <p>8 there with you?</p> <p>9 THE WITNESS: No. Everybody has been</p> <p>10 kicked out of my room.</p> <p>11 MS. TILLMAN: Perfect. And are you under</p> <p>12 the influence of any medications or any other</p> <p>13 condition that would impact your ability to answer</p> <p>14 truthfully today?</p> <p>15 THE WITNESS: No.</p> <p>16 MS. TILLMAN: Perfect. Perfect. And I</p> <p>17 want to make sure I'm saying your name correctly just</p> <p>18 when I reference it, do you go by Ms. Selden-Manor or</p> <p>19 by -- am I saying it wrong?</p> <p>20 THE WITNESS: Ms. Selden-Manor, yes.</p> <p>21 MS. TILLMAN: Perfect. Awesome. All</p> <p>22 right.</p> <p>23 EXAMINATION</p> <p>24 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>25 Q. So did you do anything to prepare for your deposition</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 10</p>
<p>1 today?</p> <p>2 A. I met with my staff, yes.</p> <p>3 Q. Did you do anything else?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. What else did you do?</p> <p>6 A. I reviewed policy.</p> <p>7 Q. What policies did you review?</p> <p>8 A. Several, I couldn't name them all without having them</p> <p>9 in front of me.</p> <p>10 Q. For sure. Were they related to the internal policies</p> <p>11 and procedures kind of of the SOR unit generally?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. Gotcha. And did you review anything else or do</p> <p>14 anything else to prepare?</p> <p>15 A. No.</p> <p>16 Q. And aside from the policies and procedures that we</p> <p>17 kind of just mentioned, did you review any other</p> <p>18 documents that were related to the case at all?</p> <p>19 A. You were cutting out, I'm sorry.</p> <p>20 Q. No problem. Other than the policy that we just kind</p> <p>21 of -- just briefly discussed, did you review any other</p> <p>22 documents related to the case?</p> <p>23 A. Can I try another wi-fi connection.</p> <p>24 Q. Perfectly fine.</p> <p>25 A. Sorry.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 11</p>	<p>1 MS. TILLMAN: Off the record.</p> <p>2 (Off the record 9:09 a.m.)</p> <p>3 (Back on the record at 9:11 a.m.)</p> <p>4 MS. TILLMAN: Back on the record.</p> <p>5 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>6 Q. And I think that last question I was asking was did</p> <p>7 you review any other documents in preparation for the</p> <p>8 deposition aside from the policies you just referred</p> <p>9 to?</p> <p>10 A. No.</p> <p>11 Q. Gotcha. And without telling me what you talked about,</p> <p>12 did you meet with your attorneys in preparation for</p> <p>13 this deposition?</p> <p>14 A. Can you repeat that question. You're cutting out</p> <p>15 again.</p> <p>16 Q. Without revealing or indicating what you talked about,</p> <p>17 did you meet with your attorneys to prepare for this</p> <p>18 deposition?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. Gotcha. And how many times did you meet with your</p> <p>21 attorneys?</p> <p>22 A. Once.</p> <p>23 Q. And how long was that --</p> <p>24 A. Actually twice, my apologies, we did have a</p> <p>25 pre-meeting.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 12</p>

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

Selden-Manor, Jami

6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

<p>1 Q. Gotcha. And were those meetings in preparation for 2 this deposition? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Gotcha. And did you speak with anyone besides your 5 attorneys about your deposition? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. And who was -- who were those people? 8 A. My staff. 9 Q. And what did you talk about? 10 A. I just told them that I was being deposed today and I 11 wasn't going to be available. 12 Q. Gotcha. Perfect. And just finally, did you do 13 anything else at all to prepare? 14 A. No. 15 Q. Gotcha. All right. So let's talk a little bit about 16 your education background. Did you finish high 17 school? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. And where did you go to high school? 20 A. I graduated from West Junior in Lansing. 21 Q. And what year did you graduate? 22 A. '96, 1996. 23 Q. And any further education after that? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. And where did you go after high school?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 13</p>	<p>1 A. You cut out again. 2 Q. Where did you go after high school? 3 A. I went to Lansing Community College. 4 Q. And what year did you graduate from Lansing Community 5 College? 6 A. I did not graduate from there. 7 Q. How long were you attending? 8 A. Probably about ten years, off and on. 9 Q. What were you studying -- 10 A. I -- 11 Q. What were you studying? 12 A. Basics, basic classes. 13 Q. And any other education aside from those two, high 14 school and Lansing Community College? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. What other education is that? 17 A. I completed my Associate's and Bachelor's at Cleary 18 University. 19 Q. And what year did you finish? 20 A. I knew you would ask me that. It was during COVID, I 21 want to say 2019, 2020, somewhere in there. 22 Q. Got it. Perfect. And what were your -- what was your 23 degree in? 24 A. You cut out again. 25 Q. No problem. I was asking what did you get your</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 14</p>
<p>1 Bachelor's degree in? I think I cut out again. 2 A. I just heard you. 3 Q. I'll try again. The question is what did you get your 4 Bachelor's degree in? 5 A. Business administration. 6 Q. Business administration, thank you. And do you have 7 any other education beyond your Bachelor's degree? 8 A. No. 9 Q. Do you have any training, certifications, anything 10 like that? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. What trainings do you have? 13 A. Many criminal justice trainings provided by the State 14 of Michigan or outside agencies, computer technology 15 type. I don't have a list in front of me to give you. 16 Q. For sure. For sure. Do you recall about how long ago 17 you might have taken some of those trainings? 18 A. Over the last twenty-plus years. 19 Q. And do you happen to have any licenses? 20 A. No. 21 Q. Gotcha. And so, I want to talk a little bit now about 22 your work history. Did you work at all after high 23 school? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Or immediately after high school?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 15</p>	<p>1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Where did you work? 3 A. It was a rent-to-own company, I think it was Liberty 4 rent-to-own. They're no longer in business, so I 5 can't remember the name. I was a receptionist. 6 Q. Okay. And how long were you there for? 7 A. Less than two years. 8 Q. Less than two years. And where did you go after that? 9 A. I worked for a temp agency that placed me at 10 Hasselbring-Clark. 11 Q. How long were you there? 12 A. Approximately four years. 13 Q. And did you go anywhere after that? 14 A. I worked -- my screen just disappeared, sorry. I can 15 hear you, but I couldn't see you. Okay. 16 I went to work at the library of Michigan 17 for the State of Michigan. 18 Q. And how long were you there? 19 A. Three years, approximately. 20 Q. And where to after that? 21 A. I went to FIA, which is now DHHS. 22 Q. What was your role there? 23 A. I worked in disability, the determination services. I 24 was an accounting assistant. 25 Q. Gotcha. How long were you there?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 16</p>

Selden-Manor, Jami

6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

<p>1 A. Less than a year.</p> <p>2 Q. And then where to after that?</p> <p>3 A. Department of Natural Resources.</p> <p>4 Q. And your role there was?</p> <p>5 A. I started out as a departmental technician and</p> <p>6 promoted up to a specialist thirteen.</p> <p>7 Q. How long were you there?</p> <p>8 A. Over twenty years.</p> <p>9 Q. And where did you go after that?</p> <p>10 A. Michigan State Police.</p> <p>11 Q. Michigan State Police. And before I ask you questions</p> <p>12 about the Michigan State Police, I wanted to follow up</p> <p>13 just really quickly.</p> <p>14 In all of the -- in all the roles we just</p> <p>15 talked about, did any of them relate to your current</p> <p>16 job at all, the responsibilities and duties that you</p> <p>17 currently -- you're currently performing?</p> <p>18 A. No. No.</p> <p>19 Q. Gotcha. All right. Perfect. So when did you start</p> <p>20 working for the Michigan State Police?</p> <p>21 A. March 19th of '23.</p> <p>22 Q. And what position was that for?</p> <p>23 A. My current position is the departmental manager for a</p> <p>24 team.</p> <p>25 Q. So the -- your first job with the Michigan State</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 17</p>	<p>1 Police was as the SOR unit manager or --</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. -- departmental manager?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. Gotcha. And so, you've been the manager for about</p> <p>6 four months or so?</p> <p>7 A. It -- not even three months.</p> <p>8 Q. Gotcha.</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. And have you -- aside from the positions that we</p> <p>11 talked about, have you done any previous work on or</p> <p>12 with the Michigan Sex Offender Registry prior to this</p> <p>13 position?</p> <p>14 A. No.</p> <p>15 Q. Gotcha. All right. And I know you are obviously</p> <p>16 relatively new to the position, but I want to ask you</p> <p>17 a couple of questions.</p> <p>18 Were you involved at all in responding to</p> <p>19 the discovery requests in this case?</p> <p>20 A. No.</p> <p>21 Q. Gotcha. And do you know if anyone you currently</p> <p>22 supervise was involved in responding to the discovery</p> <p>23 questions in this case?</p> <p>24 A. I don't -- I do not know.</p> <p>25 Q. Gotcha. And so, as the manager, what are your</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 18</p>
<p>1 responsibilities?</p> <p>2 A. I oversee the SOR unit. There's ten employees,</p> <p>3 technicians and analysts.</p> <p>4 Q. Gotcha. Anything else?</p> <p>5 A. I review policy and procedure within the unit.</p> <p>6 Q. And anything else?</p> <p>7 A. Review trainings; that's part of my PD, but I haven't</p> <p>8 done that yet.</p> <p>9 Q. All right. Do your responsibilities as manager</p> <p>10 require you to work with the MSOR database vendor</p> <p>11 ever?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. And what responsibilities do you have working with the</p> <p>14 MSOR database vendor?</p> <p>15 A. I oversee the analyst that works directly with that</p> <p>16 vendor. I meet with them in meetings, go over issues</p> <p>17 relating to the system.</p> <p>18 Q. Gotcha. And do you know if the responsibilities of</p> <p>19 the SOR unit manager -- the SOR unit manager have</p> <p>20 changed since you entered the role?</p> <p>21 A. No.</p> <p>22 Q. So your responsibilities are more or less the same as</p> <p>23 the previous manager in your position, to your</p> <p>24 knowledge?</p> <p>25 A. I don't know that.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 19</p>	<p>1 Q. Don't know, gotcha. And I assume -- you just answered</p> <p>2 this, but do you supervise -- do you supervise anyone</p> <p>3 in your role?</p> <p>4 MR. DAMICH: Objection, asked and answered.</p> <p>5 THE WITNESS: Yes.</p> <p>6 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>7 Q. And who do you supervise in your role?</p> <p>8 A. I supervise ten employees. Do you need their names?</p> <p>9 Q. No, no, I don't need their names. Those employees are</p> <p>10 techs and analysts in the SOR unit?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. Do you supervise anyone else besides the techs and</p> <p>13 analysts?</p> <p>14 A. No.</p> <p>15 Q. Is your job different from those you supervise?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. And how is it different?</p> <p>18 A. I oversee their duties. I don't do their job. I</p> <p>19 oversee them.</p> <p>20 Q. Gotcha. Does part of your supervision duties include</p> <p>21 ensuring the techs and analysts comply with the</p> <p>22 standards and procedures of the SOR unit?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. And how do you ensure that?</p> <p>25 A. I'm -- I ensure that they're following the policies</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 20</p>

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

Selden-Manor, Jami

6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

<p>1 and procedures that have been approved.</p> <p>2 Q. And is there any mechanism that makes that easier for</p> <p>3 you?</p> <p>4 A. I sit with them and ensure that they're doing their</p> <p>5 job accordingly to the policies and procedures that</p> <p>6 have been approved.</p> <p>7 Q. Gotcha. And who is your direct supervisor?</p> <p>8 A. First Lieutenant Alan Renz.</p> <p>9 Q. And what's their role or position?</p> <p>10 A. He is the section manager.</p> <p>11 Q. And is he also in the SOR unit?</p> <p>12 A. He oversees the SOR unit.</p> <p>13 Q. Who does he report to?</p> <p>14 A. Mr. Shawn Sible.</p> <p>15 Q. And do you know how long he's been in that position?</p> <p>16 A. No.</p> <p>17 Q. So and -- my understanding based on what we've kind of</p> <p>18 already talked about is in your role, you're</p> <p>19 responsible for carrying out internal policies and</p> <p>20 procedures, correct?</p> <p>21 A. I'm responsible for the techs and analysts carrying</p> <p>22 out those policies and procedures.</p> <p>23 Q. Gotcha. And did you receive any training when you</p> <p>24 became the SOR unit manager?</p> <p>25 A. No.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 21</p>	<p>1 Q. No training at all?</p> <p>2 A. I received training with my employees.</p> <p>3 Q. And can you describe what that training looked like.</p> <p>4 A. I sat with each one of them, went over their daily</p> <p>5 duties and their position descriptions, along with the</p> <p>6 policies and procedures.</p> <p>7 Q. Did you -- which policies and procedures did you go</p> <p>8 over with them during those trainings?</p> <p>9 A. All that we have approved. I can't give you a list of</p> <p>10 numbers without seeing them.</p> <p>11 Q. Gotcha. Do you know how many policies and procedures</p> <p>12 that might be just off the top of your head?</p> <p>13 A. I do not know.</p> <p>14 Q. Gotcha. And did you receive personally any materials</p> <p>15 or resources just when you became the manager for your</p> <p>16 reference or guidance?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. And what kind of resources and materials did you</p> <p>19 receive?</p> <p>20 A. Cheat sheets, copy of previous law, links to those</p> <p>21 laws.</p> <p>22 Q. Gotcha. And what kind of cheat sheets did you</p> <p>23 receive?</p> <p>24 A. The PACC code table, out of state -- I forget the name</p> <p>25 of it, but it's referring to out-of-state offenders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 22</p>
<p>1 Q. Gotcha. And during your kind of like onboarding</p> <p>2 process, were you trained on any specific programs?</p> <p>3 A. MSOR.</p> <p>4 Q. And the -- the MSOR database?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. Gotcha. What kind of training did you receive on</p> <p>7 that?</p> <p>8 A. Hands-on training with each analyst and technician.</p> <p>9 Q. And how long -- about how long did that take?</p> <p>10 A. I spent approximately two hours with each person.</p> <p>11 Q. Gotcha. And sometimes when a person starts a new job,</p> <p>12 they learn from the people who already work there. So</p> <p>13 have you been able to learn from other staff within</p> <p>14 the SOR unit at all to kind of get more up to speed on</p> <p>15 your responsibilities and duties?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. And from who were you able to kind of get that</p> <p>18 guidance and kind of practice?</p> <p>19 A. All of my staff.</p> <p>20 Q. All of your staff. Anyone besides the techs and</p> <p>21 analysts that you supervise?</p> <p>22 A. I have reached out to the previous SOR unit manager.</p> <p>23 Q. Gotcha. And what did you reach out to the previous</p> <p>24 SOR unit manager about?</p> <p>25 A. Looking for previous emails, asking questions on</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 23</p>	<p>1 processes.</p> <p>2 Q. Gotcha. And I believe you already testified to being</p> <p>3 aware of the different operating procedures that are</p> <p>4 outlined for the SOR unit, correct?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. Perfect. And so, do you know if those -- those SOR</p> <p>7 operating procedures are written within the SOR unit?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. And do you know who is involved in writing them?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. Who is that?</p> <p>12 A. My analysts and the SOR unit manager.</p> <p>13 Q. Have you been -- since arriving to the SOR unit, have</p> <p>14 you been involved in writing any of the policies?</p> <p>15 A. I have not been involved in finalizing policies.</p> <p>16 Q. Have you otherwise been involved in drafting or</p> <p>17 consulting on them?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. Which ones were those?</p> <p>20 A. Out-of-state PRP, we're reviewing those now.</p> <p>21 Q. Gotcha. And if you know, what changed about the</p> <p>22 operating procedures that you're currently involved in</p> <p>23 helping draft or consult on?</p> <p>24 MR. DAMICH: Objection, this is starting to</p> <p>25 delve into process of things that are currently being</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 24</p>

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

Selden-Manor, Jami

6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

<p>1 worked on and potentially changed. I'd ask that she</p> <p>2 not answer that.</p> <p>3 MS. TILLMAN: Fair enough. We will move</p> <p>4 on.</p> <p>5 MR. DAMICH: Thank you.</p> <p>6 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>7 Q. Are you familiar with the process of amending or</p> <p>8 revising operating procedures in the SOR unit?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. Is there a review process?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. And are you involved in that process?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. And who signs off on like final changes?</p> <p>15 A. The SOR unit manager.</p> <p>16 Q. Gotcha. I'm going to switch gears a little bit here.</p> <p>17 So from the previous work experience that we kind of</p> <p>18 just -- that we previously went over, do you have</p> <p>19 experience at all with doing background checks?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. And what experience would that be?</p> <p>22 A. I worked for law enforcement division for Department</p> <p>23 of Natural Resources, doing background checks on</p> <p>24 employees and recruits.</p> <p>25 Q. And as the SOR unit manager, you are familiar with</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 25</p>	<p>1 what is published on the Michigan Sex Offender</p> <p>2 Registry?</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. Perfect. So do you know if there's a fee to search</p> <p>5 the registry?</p> <p>6 A. I don't believe so.</p> <p>7 Q. Gotcha. Do you know if there are fees associated with</p> <p>8 running background checks?</p> <p>9 A. I do not know.</p> <p>10 Q. Gotcha. Do you know if you can run a search on the</p> <p>11 Michigan Sex Offender Registry without a name?</p> <p>12 A. I do not know. Yes, sorry, yes, you can run it by</p> <p>13 location.</p> <p>14 Q. Gotcha. Gotcha. Do you know if the same is true for</p> <p>15 a background check?</p> <p>16 MR. DAMICH: Objection, lack of foundation.</p> <p>17 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>18 Q. You can still answer if you know.</p> <p>19 A. I don't know.</p> <p>20 Q. Can you run an -- in your experience, have you been</p> <p>21 able to run a background check on an address or a</p> <p>22 geographical location?</p> <p>23 MR. DAMICH: Objection, ambiguous.</p> <p>24 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>25 Q. You can still answer.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 26</p>
<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. And does being able to run a check on the Michigan Sex</p> <p>3 Offender Registry without a name, say, using an</p> <p>4 address or a geographical location, does that allow a</p> <p>5 registry user to find out that a person has a sex</p> <p>6 offense history, even when they aren't necessarily</p> <p>7 looking for that specific information or background?</p> <p>8 MR. DAMICH: Objection to form, it's</p> <p>9 compound, ambiguous, confusing.</p> <p>10 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>11 Q. You can answer if you understand the question.</p> <p>12 A. I don't understand the question.</p> <p>13 Q. I can rephrase. So because the registry does not</p> <p>14 require a name in order to run a search, does that</p> <p>15 allow a user who's using the registry to run a search</p> <p>16 on a particular person to find information they</p> <p>17 weren't necessarily looking for?</p> <p>18 MR. DAMICH: Same objection.</p> <p>19 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>20 Q. You can answer if you know the answer.</p> <p>21 A. I didn't understand the question.</p> <p>22 Q. Gotcha. We can move on. It's okay.</p> <p>23 To your knowledge, does the public Sex</p> <p>24 Offender Registry provide personal information on</p> <p>25 registrants?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 27</p>	<p>1 A. Can you elaborate what you mean by personal</p> <p>2 information.</p> <p>3 Q. So -- for sure. So does the Sex Offender Registry</p> <p>4 show a picture and physical description of</p> <p>5 registrants?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. And does that show up on a background check, to your</p> <p>8 knowledge?</p> <p>9 MR. DAMICH: Objection, lack of foundation.</p> <p>10 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>11 Q. You can answer if you know.</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. Are you familiar with the MDOC's Offender Tracking and</p> <p>14 Information System background check?</p> <p>15 A. I'm sorry, can you repeat that question.</p> <p>16 Q. Yeah, no problem. Are you familiar with MDOC's</p> <p>17 Offender Tracking and Information System background</p> <p>18 check?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. And do you know if they have photos?</p> <p>21 A. I don't know.</p> <p>22 Q. Are you familiar with the MSP's Internet Criminal</p> <p>23 History Access Tool?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. Do you know if they have pictures?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 28</p>

7 (Pages 25 to 28)

Selden-Manor, Jami

6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

<p>1 A. I don't know.</p> <p>2 Q. Gotcha. What about private background check results?</p> <p>3 Do you know if they have pictures?</p> <p>4 MR. DAMICH: Objection, lack of foundation.</p> <p>5 THE WITNESS: I don't know.</p> <p>6 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>7 Q. I'm sorry, I didn't hear your answer.</p> <p>8 A. Can I answer that.</p> <p>9 Q. Yes.</p> <p>10 A. I don't know.</p> <p>11 Q. Don't know, gotcha. And so, other information that</p> <p>12 someone might find on the public registry includes</p> <p>13 whether a registrant is compliant with SORA, correct?</p> <p>14 A. Repeat that question, please.</p> <p>15 Q. For sure. I can also rephrase it if it was a little</p> <p>16 confusing.</p> <p>17 I was asking does the public Sex Offender</p> <p>18 Registry show whether or not a registrant is currently</p> <p>19 compliant with SORA?</p> <p>20 A. I want to say yes.</p> <p>21 Q. For sure.</p> <p>22 A. But I see it differently than what the public would</p> <p>23 see it since I have administrative access.</p> <p>24 Q. Gotcha. Can you explain what you mean by that.</p> <p>25 A. I can see everything that's been entered on the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 29</p>	<p>1 individual. If it's non-public, I can see it.</p> <p>2 Q. Gotcha.</p> <p>3 A. Whereas, the public may not be able to see it.</p> <p>4 Q. Gotcha. Understood. Do you know based on your</p> <p>5 experience with background checks whether or not</p> <p>6 someone might know or find out whether someone is</p> <p>7 compliant with their SORA requirements based on the</p> <p>8 background check?</p> <p>9 MR. DAMICH: Objection, lack of foundation.</p> <p>10 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>11 Q. You can answer if you know.</p> <p>12 A. I don't know.</p> <p>13 Q. Don't know, gotcha. And does the public Sex Offender</p> <p>14 Registry website allow you to map a registrant?</p> <p>15 MR. DAMICH: Objection, ambiguous.</p> <p>16 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>17 Q. Does it allow you to map a registrant's location based</p> <p>18 on the information the registry has?</p> <p>19 A. Location --</p> <p>20 Q. Where they --</p> <p>21 A. -- meaning, where they reside?</p> <p>22 Q. Uh-huh, exactly. Where they reside or where they</p> <p>23 work.</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. Do you know if a public background check can do the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 30</p>
<p>1 same?</p> <p>2 A. I don't know.</p> <p>3 Q. Don't know, gotcha. And does the public Sex Offender</p> <p>4 Registry website show where a registrant is currently</p> <p>5 living?</p> <p>6 A. It shows where they last provided their resident</p> <p>7 address.</p> <p>8 Q. Do you know if the same is true of a background check?</p> <p>9 MR. DAMICH: Objection, lack of foundation.</p> <p>10 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>11 Q. You can answer if you know.</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. And the public registry, the public -- the public Sex</p> <p>14 Offender Registry website also shows where a -- a</p> <p>15 registrant is currently working if they have a job,</p> <p>16 correct?</p> <p>17 A. I believe so, yes.</p> <p>18 Q. Do you know if the same is true for a background</p> <p>19 check?</p> <p>20 MR. DAMICH: Objection, lack of foundation.</p> <p>21 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>22 Q. You can answer if you know.</p> <p>23 A. I don't know.</p> <p>24 Q. Gotcha. And the public Sex Offender Registry website</p> <p>25 allows the public to submit tips about registrants,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 31</p>	<p>1 correct?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. Do you know if there is any mechanism for background</p> <p>4 checks that are -- that performs the same type of</p> <p>5 function?</p> <p>6 MR. DAMICH: Objection, lack of foundation.</p> <p>7 THE WITNESS: I don't know.</p> <p>8 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>9 Q. Gotcha. Do you know if the registry is used as a</p> <p>10 basis of excluding registrants from certain places or</p> <p>11 activities?</p> <p>12 MR. DAMICH: Objection, lack of foundation,</p> <p>13 form.</p> <p>14 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>15 Q. You can answer if you know.</p> <p>16 A. I don't know.</p> <p>17 Q. Say, for example, is the registry used to bar people</p> <p>18 with sex convictions from a gym or library, social</p> <p>19 media, anything like that?</p> <p>20 A. I don't know that.</p> <p>21 Q. Don't know, gotcha. Do you know if the State of</p> <p>22 Michigan has analyzed whether the registry has an</p> <p>23 impact on whether a person will be convicted of</p> <p>24 another sex offense?</p> <p>25 MR. DAMICH: Objection, confusing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 32</p>

8 (Pages 29 to 32)

Selden-Manor, Jami

6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

<p>1 compound, lack of foundation.</p> <p>2 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>3 Q. You can answer if you know.</p> <p>4 A. I don't know.</p> <p>5 Q. Do you know if the state plans to do some type of</p> <p>6 analysis about the impact on the -- the impact of the</p> <p>7 registry?</p> <p>8 MR. DAMICH: Objection, lack of foundation.</p> <p>9 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>10 Q. You can answer if you know.</p> <p>11 A. I don't know.</p> <p>12 Q. Gotcha. All right. So I'm just going to switch gears</p> <p>13 again a little bit. So are you familiar with the</p> <p>14 registration process for people with instate</p> <p>15 convictions?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. Can you provide just a general background of that</p> <p>18 process.</p> <p>19 A. I would refer to policy.</p> <p>20 Q. And which policy would you refer to?</p> <p>21 A. Without having a list, I can't give you the number and</p> <p>22 the name.</p> <p>23 Q. Gotcha. So how about I just throw a scenario, because</p> <p>24 we're just trying to get basically just like the</p> <p>25 really basics of kind of just how the registration</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 33</p>	<p>1 process goes down.</p> <p>2 So if someone is convicted of a sex offense</p> <p>3 after pleading guilty, what happens next?</p> <p>4 A. They would -- they're ordered to register, they would</p> <p>5 register at a registering authority. That information</p> <p>6 would be entered and then sent to the SOR unit office.</p> <p>7 Q. Gotcha. What happens if that individual is sentenced</p> <p>8 to prison?</p> <p>9 A. That information is entered through MDOC as the</p> <p>10 registering authority.</p> <p>11 Q. And are you familiar with the statute that governs the</p> <p>12 Sex Offender Registry registration process, MCL</p> <p>13 28.724?</p> <p>14 A. I've reviewed it, yes.</p> <p>15 Q. Gotcha. And do you kind of know it off the top of</p> <p>16 your head? Or would it be better to pull it up?</p> <p>17 A. It would be better to pull it up.</p> <p>18 Q. So give me a second and I will share my screen really</p> <p>19 quickly. Can you see my screen?</p> <p>20 A. I can now, yes.</p> <p>21 Q. Perfect. Are you looking at the 28.724 MCL?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. Perfect. Perfect. And so, MCL 28.724 lays out the</p> <p>24 registration process for an individual convicted of an</p> <p>25 offense registrable in Michigan, correct?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 34</p>
<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 MR. DAMICH: Objection, asks for a legal</p> <p>3 conclusion.</p> <p>4 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>5 Q. And it provides guidance on the entities responsible</p> <p>6 for initial registration?</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. So for an individual who is on probation for a listed</p> <p>9 offense, it's the individual's probation agent who</p> <p>10 registers them?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. And if they're on parole, it's the individual's parole</p> <p>13 agent that registers them?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. And for an individual committed to jail for a listed</p> <p>16 offense, it's the sheriff or his or her designee that</p> <p>17 does the registration?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. And if they're under the jurisdiction of the</p> <p>20 Department of Corrections at the time, then it's the</p> <p>21 DOC that handles that registration?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. And if they're within the jurisdiction of the juvenile</p> <p>24 division or the Department of Social Services, it's</p> <p>25 one of those entities that handles the registration?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 35</p>	<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. Perfect. Perfect. Just wanted to make sure we had</p> <p>3 some clarity on that. Awesome.</p> <p>4 So when one of those entities registers an</p> <p>5 individual, are they then creating a new record in the</p> <p>6 SOR database?</p> <p>7 MR. DAMICH: Objection, lack of foundation.</p> <p>8 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>9 Q. You can answer if you know.</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. Gotcha. And so, that would mean a probation officer,</p> <p>12 prison staff, or whatever entity is in charge of that</p> <p>13 registration, they are creating that new record in the</p> <p>14 Michigan Sex Offender Registry database?</p> <p>15 MR. DAMICH: Same objection.</p> <p>16 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>17 Q. You can answer if you know.</p> <p>18 A. Yes. But it's not finalized. It's not made public.</p> <p>19 Q. Do you know how the record is created once they go</p> <p>20 about doing that? Like what is the technical process?</p> <p>21 MR. DAMICH: Objection, lack of foundation.</p> <p>22 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>23 Q. You can answer.</p> <p>24 A. We follow policy.</p> <p>25 Q. Follow policy. And do you know which policy that is</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 36</p>

Selden-Manor, Jami
6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

<p>1 specifically?</p> <p>2 A. No, I don't know.</p> <p>3 Q. Gotcha. Do you know the agencies that are doing the</p> <p>4 registration decide what the tier level of the</p> <p>5 individual would be?</p> <p>6 A. No.</p> <p>7 Q. Is it the SOR unit that does that?</p> <p>8 MR. DAMICH: Objection, lack of foundation.</p> <p>9 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>10 Q. You can answer.</p> <p>11 A. The system tiers them based on the MCL.</p> <p>12 Q. Gotcha. And is the same true for whether or not the</p> <p>13 registration will be public?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. Gotcha. Do you know at what point during the initial</p> <p>16 registration process the SOR unit becomes aware of a</p> <p>17 potential registrant?</p> <p>18 MR. DAMICH: Objection, ambiguous.</p> <p>19 MS. TILLMAN: I can rephrase the question</p> <p>20 if that's helpful.</p> <p>21 THE WITNESS: Yes.</p> <p>22 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>23 Q. Do you know at what point during the initial</p> <p>24 registration process does the SOR unit get the</p> <p>25 information about the registrant?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 37</p>	<p>1 A. When the agency enters that information, it then comes</p> <p>2 to an inbox where the SOR unit then reviews and</p> <p>3 follows policy.</p> <p>4 Q. And is that like a shared inbox between the SOR unit?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. Gotcha. And what information comes to the SOR unit in</p> <p>7 that inbox?</p> <p>8 A. What the agency entered.</p> <p>9 Q. What would a -- what would an agency typically enter</p> <p>10 about a registrant?</p> <p>11 A. The information provided to them, name, address, date</p> <p>12 of birth, driver's license number or state ID number,</p> <p>13 if it's MDOC, it might be an MDOC number.</p> <p>14 Q. Gotcha. Gotcha. And then what happens after the SOR</p> <p>15 unit gets that notification in that shared inbox?</p> <p>16 A. They review the information for accuracy.</p> <p>17 Q. And is the record then assigned to a specific analyst</p> <p>18 or a technician within the unit?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. Gotcha. And is there a process for deciding who the</p> <p>21 record goes to?</p> <p>22 MR. DAMICH: Objection, I'm going to --</p> <p>23 we're delving into a process and internal operations</p> <p>24 of a state agency and its decision-making process.</p> <p>25 MS. TILLMAN: Fair enough. I will -- I</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 38</p>
<p>1 will withdraw that question.</p> <p>2 MR. DAMICH: Thanks.</p> <p>3 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>4 Q. After the record has been assigned to a specific</p> <p>5 analyst or technician, what do they do with the</p> <p>6 record?</p> <p>7 A. It starts out with the technician reviewing</p> <p>8 information for accuracy and filling in the</p> <p>9 information that's required.</p> <p>10 Q. And then after they have -- would that result in a</p> <p>11 complete record at that point?</p> <p>12 A. No.</p> <p>13 Q. Gotcha.</p> <p>14 A. It goes to an analyst.</p> <p>15 Q. Gotcha. What --</p> <p>16 A. For another check.</p> <p>17 Q. Gotcha. And then what happens after a record has been</p> <p>18 through both the techs and the analyst?</p> <p>19 A. If it's complete, it then becomes -- I don't want to</p> <p>20 say published, because it could be unpublished</p> <p>21 depending on if it's a juvenile or what the case may</p> <p>22 be. But they finalize that and based on the</p> <p>23 conviction or tier or -- that decides if it's public</p> <p>24 or not.</p> <p>25 Q. Gotcha. Gotcha. Is there ever a case that a</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 39</p>	<p>1 technician or analyst during their review might need</p> <p>2 more information in order to finish their review?</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. And what information might they be missing?</p> <p>5 MR. DAMICH: Objection, this is starting to</p> <p>6 delve into privileged information to decision-making</p> <p>7 process of a state agency. So I ask that she doesn't</p> <p>8 go into this any further.</p> <p>9 MS. TILLMAN: Question withdrawn.</p> <p>10 MR. DAMICH: Thank you.</p> <p>11 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>12 Q. And does the analyst or technician assign the tier</p> <p>13 level during that process?</p> <p>14 A. Can you rephrase that question.</p> <p>15 Q. For sure. So during the process while the tech,</p> <p>16 analyst is reviewing the record, do they also assign a</p> <p>17 tier level to that record?</p> <p>18 A. It goes based on the MCL and if it's a juvenile case</p> <p>19 or -- there's a lot of things that are in factor.</p> <p>20 Q. Gotcha. Would that record have a tier level assigned</p> <p>21 by the time it is finalized?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. Gotcha. And for Michigan offenses, is the tier level</p> <p>24 for specific offenses programmed into the MSOR</p> <p>25 database?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 40</p>

10 (Pages 37 to 40)

Selden-Manor, Jami
6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. Gotcha. And so, if there was a new registrant who was</p> <p>3 convicted of CSC 3, the MSOR database would</p> <p>4 automatically code that person as a tier III perhaps?</p> <p>5 A. It also looks at other factors. The court could list</p> <p>6 them as a different tier. So all of that is played</p> <p>7 into factor.</p> <p>8 Q. Gotcha. What are those different factors that might</p> <p>9 affect that?</p> <p>10 A. The judge could order them as a lesser tier than what</p> <p>11 the MCL requires. That's just an example.</p> <p>12 Q. Uh-huh. Thank you for that. So same kind of</p> <p>13 question, does the publication determination take</p> <p>14 place during this review -- during this process of</p> <p>15 reviewing the initial registration?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. Gotcha. And would those same type of factors kind of</p> <p>18 factor into whether it be published or unpublished?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. Gotcha. And for Michigan offenses, is whether or not</p> <p>21 the offense is public programmed already into the MSOR</p> <p>22 database?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. Gotcha. And at any point during the review process do</p> <p>25 the records go to you as the manager?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 41</p>	<p>1 A. Can you repeat that question.</p> <p>2 Q. Yeah, for sure. So during the review process while</p> <p>3 the techs have the -- the techs have the record and</p> <p>4 then they send it to the analyst, during that process</p> <p>5 at any point do the records go directly to you as the</p> <p>6 manager?</p> <p>7 A. Not unless there's a question.</p> <p>8 Q. Gotcha. So you wouldn't see every record that comes</p> <p>9 through the SOR unit?</p> <p>10 A. No.</p> <p>11 Q. Gotcha. And is there a particular point in that</p> <p>12 process that you might see it after the analyst, after</p> <p>13 the tech, or after they have both reviewed it?</p> <p>14 MR. DAMICH: Objection, asked and answered.</p> <p>15 THE WITNESS: If they --</p> <p>16 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>17 Q. Sorry, I missed your answer.</p> <p>18 A. If they have a question.</p> <p>19 Q. And if they have a question, a record comes to you,</p> <p>20 what happens after the record goes to you?</p> <p>21 A. I review it.</p> <p>22 Q. And what are you reviewing it for?</p> <p>23 A. I'm reviewing it based on their question.</p> <p>24 Q. And just since you have been at the SOR unit and since</p> <p>25 March 2023, how many times has a record come to you</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 42</p>
<p>1 for review?</p> <p>2 A. Probably less than five.</p> <p>3 Q. Gotcha. All right. I'm going to try to pull up an</p> <p>4 exhibit. I'm going to attempt to share my screen</p> <p>5 again. Give me one second. Can you see my screen?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. So this is what we will mark as Exhibit A. It is</p> <p>8 Bates numbered 000 -- 0000774 through 776. It appears</p> <p>9 to be a SOR operating procedure. It has the label</p> <p>10 SOR-OP-307. Have you seen this before?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 MARKED BY THE REPORTER:</p> <p>13 DEPOSITION EXHIBIT A</p> <p>14 9:57 a.m.</p> <p>15 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>16 Q. And you're familiar with this document?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. Can you just briefly describe what it is.</p> <p>19 A. It's the policy for registration.</p> <p>20 Q. Policy for registration. Do you know who wrote this</p> <p>21 document?</p> <p>22 A. I do not.</p> <p>23 Q. Gotcha. Do you know who may have been involved in</p> <p>24 signing off on it?</p> <p>25 A. Previous SOR manager.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 43</p>	<p>1 Q. And -- gotcha. It looks like it has a revision date</p> <p>2 for April 21st, 2022. Does that sound right to you?</p> <p>3 A. Prior to me, yes.</p> <p>4 Q. Do you know if it was internally revised?</p> <p>5 A. I don't know.</p> <p>6 Q. Do you know what changes might have been made?</p> <p>7 MR. DAMICH: Objection, lack of foundation.</p> <p>8 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>9 Q. You can answer if you know the answer.</p> <p>10 A. I don't know.</p> <p>11 Q. Gotcha. Do you know if it's been revised since April</p> <p>12 21st, 2022?</p> <p>13 A. No, it has --</p> <p>14 Q. It hasn't -- it has not been revised. So this is the</p> <p>15 final version, as far as you know?</p> <p>16 A. The final approved version.</p> <p>17 Q. The final approved version, gotcha. Is this an</p> <p>18 operating procedure that is used or referenced by the</p> <p>19 SOR unit?</p> <p>20 A. This is the policy.</p> <p>21 Q. Policy, gotcha. That is referenced by the SOR unit?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. And does this policy provide guidance on initial</p> <p>24 registration processes for individuals with</p> <p>25 registrable sex offenses?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 44</p>

11 (Pages 41 to 44)

Selden-Manor, Jami

6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

<p>1 A. Scroll down for me, please. This is just the policy. 2 Q. What does this policy do? Or what is it for? 3 A. It discusses record reviews, how the record is created 4 by the SOR unit, the tiering, if missing information. 5 I mean, it -- you can read it like I can. 6 Q. For sure. 7 A. It lists all of those -- all of that information. 8 Q. And just looking at this, the section right here, I'm 9 going to briefly read what that says. So it says all 10 sex offender registration records shall be reviewed by 11 a Sex Offender Registry, SOR, unit staff member to 12 ensure the accuracy and completeness of a record. 13 Until the review is completed, the 14 individual's sex offender registration information 15 shall not be displayed on the Michigan public Sex 16 Offender Registry website or the national offender 17 public registry website. Do you see that? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. Perfect. Can you break down what goes into a record 20 review before publication. 21 A. What goes into the record review? 22 Q. Uh-huh. 23 A. It goes to a tech. The tech reviews the record, will 24 make sure it's accurate compared to MDOC information 25 or criminal history, make sure it's the right</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 45</p>	<p>1 individual, the date of birth matches. We have a 2 policy on that. And then it goes to an analyst. 3 Q. Gotcha. Gotcha. And is this process the same for all 4 record reviews for instate cases? 5 A. I believe so, yes. 6 Q. Do you know how long that review typically takes? 7 A. I do not know. 8 Q. Gotcha. What happens if you don't have complete 9 information for a record? 10 A. If it's required information, it's not published. 11 Nothing is finalized until that required information 12 is entered. 13 Q. Gotcha. And are registrants notified once a record 14 review is complete? 15 A. I don't know. 16 Q. Gotcha. All right. So still looking at page one, but 17 this paragraph here, I'm going to again just quickly 18 read that. So tiering when missing information. If 19 an offender has not been tiered because of missing 20 information or because it is an out-of-state 21 conviction, the SOR unit will locate the missing 22 information to properly tier the registrant. 23 After all efforts have been exhausted, the 24 following applies; one, for a Michigan offense, where 25 the victim age cannot be determined, the offender will</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 46</p>
<p>1 be a tier II and must register for twenty-five years. 2 Two, for out-of-state convictions, the offender will 3 be a tier II and must register for twenty-five years 4 or the duration required of the other state, whichever 5 is longer. Do you see that? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Perfect. Do you know how the SOR unit locates missing 8 information? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. And how do they do that? 11 A. They run different systems, criminal history, Omni -- 12 well, whatever their system is called for MDOC. 13 They'll ask if -- if that individual was in prison. 14 They might have to reach out to the agent that entered 15 them for further information or clarifying 16 information. 17 Q. Gotcha. And the missing information that we're kind 18 of referring to, that would be helpful in accurately 19 classifying the tier and duration of a registrant? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Gotcha. So under this guidance, a registrant could 22 potentially have committed a tier III offense but be 23 registered as a tier II on the SOR? 24 A. Say that again. Can you repeat that. 25 Q. Yeah, no problem. So just based on what's provided</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 47</p>	<p>1 here in the tiering when missing information, it's 2 possible that a registrant could have committed a tier 3 III offense but be registered as a tier II offender on 4 the Sex Offender Registry, correct? 5 A. It's possible. 6 Q. Gotcha. And so, similarly, a registrant could have 7 committed a tier I offense but be registered as a tier 8 II? 9 A. It's possible. 10 Q. And that would mean that instead of registering for 11 ten years, they might be -- or they might be subject 12 to registration for twenty-five years because of that 13 missing information? 14 A. It's possible. 15 Q. Gotcha. To your knowledge, does the SOR unit 16 generally continue to search for missing information 17 after making that tier and duration determination? 18 A. We exhaust all avenues before we make that tier. 19 Q. Gotcha. Has it ever been -- to your knowledge, has it 20 ever been your experience that missing information did 21 come in after that tier and duration determination was 22 made? 23 A. I don't know. 24 Q. Gotcha. All right. I'm going to stop sharing with my 25 screen. All right. Just going to switch gears again</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 48</p>

12 (Pages 45 to 48)

Selden-Manor, Jami

6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

<p>1 to a couple of questions I have about the process for</p> <p>2 out-of-state registration.</p> <p>3 Are you familiar with the process for</p> <p>4 registering out-of-state individuals?</p> <p>5 A. I've reviewed policy, yes.</p> <p>6 Q. And is the process for registering out-of-state</p> <p>7 offenders different from that for instate offenders as</p> <p>8 described above -- or described previously, more so?</p> <p>9 A. You'd have to refer to the policy.</p> <p>10 Q. What --</p> <p>11 A. More in-depth.</p> <p>12 Q. For sure. What policy would that be?</p> <p>13 A. I don't know the number.</p> <p>14 Q. Gotcha. Would you be able to provide a general</p> <p>15 breakdown of how you process registration for</p> <p>16 out-of-state offenders?</p> <p>17 A. You'd have to review the policy.</p> <p>18 Q. So you can't do a general breakdown?</p> <p>19 A. No.</p> <p>20 Q. Gotcha. We don't know off the top of our head which</p> <p>21 policy that would be?</p> <p>22 A. I don't know.</p> <p>23 Q. So previously the SOR unit inhouse legal advisor</p> <p>24 testified that part of the process the SOR unit uses</p> <p>25 in determining registration requirements for</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 49</p>	<p>1 out-of-state offenders is to determine if their</p> <p>2 conviction from the other jurisdiction is</p> <p>3 substantially similar to a registrable Michigan</p> <p>4 offense. Is that also your understanding?</p> <p>5 MR. DAMICH: Objection, lack of foundation.</p> <p>6 THE WITNESS: Yes.</p> <p>7 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>8 Q. Was that a yes?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. And the second part of that process, according to the</p> <p>11 testimony, is determining the registration</p> <p>12 requirements the convicting state imposes on the</p> <p>13 registrant. Is that also your understanding?</p> <p>14 MR. DAMICH: Same objection.</p> <p>15 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>16 Q. You can answer if you know.</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. Do you know if the SOR unit has a specific process for</p> <p>19 determining if an out-of-state offense is</p> <p>20 substantially similar to a Michigan one?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. What is that process?</p> <p>23 A. Refer to the policy.</p> <p>24 Q. Which policy is that?</p> <p>25 A. I don't know the number.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 50</p>
<p>1 Q. Gotcha. Have you ever needed to make a determination</p> <p>2 of whether an out-of-state offense was substantially</p> <p>3 similar to a Michigan one?</p> <p>4 A. I have not, no.</p> <p>5 Q. Gotcha. Do you know if the SOR unit generally keeps</p> <p>6 track of determinations that they make about whether</p> <p>7 an out-of-state offense is substantially similar to a</p> <p>8 Michigan one?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. And how do they usually keep track of that</p> <p>11 information?</p> <p>12 A. They have a table.</p> <p>13 Q. Table. Would that be the PACC code table that you</p> <p>14 mentioned earlier?</p> <p>15 A. Yes, ma'am.</p> <p>16 Q. Gotcha. So I -- I would like to go through the --</p> <p>17 just a couple of examples with you, just to see --</p> <p>18 just to get a better understanding of how the</p> <p>19 registration for out-of-state individuals might work.</p> <p>20 So, for example, one of the plaintiffs in</p> <p>21 this case, Mary Doe, was convicted of Ohio RC 2907.04</p> <p>22 and has been classified as a tier III registrant. We</p> <p>23 haven't been able to figure out what offense the</p> <p>24 Michigan State Police thinks is substantially similar</p> <p>25 to the Ohio offense that would make her tier III.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 51</p>	<p>1 So is it recorded anywhere what the MSP</p> <p>2 determined is the substantially similar to the</p> <p>3 Michigan offense to that one?</p> <p>4 A. I don't know.</p> <p>5 MR. DAMICH: Objection, form.</p> <p>6 MS. TILLMAN: Sorry, I heard you both at</p> <p>7 the same time.</p> <p>8 MR. DAMICH: Objection to the form.</p> <p>9 MS. TILLMAN: Gotcha.</p> <p>10 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>11 Q. What was your answer?</p> <p>12 A. That was before my time, I don't know.</p> <p>13 Q. Gotcha. How would you figure out why the MSP</p> <p>14 classified her as a tier III?</p> <p>15 A. How would I figure out?</p> <p>16 Q. Uh-huh.</p> <p>17 A. I would have to look at the entire case and I would</p> <p>18 review with legal.</p> <p>19 Q. Gotcha. Do you know if anyone at MSP has reviewed her</p> <p>20 tier classification since this lawsuit was filed?</p> <p>21 A. I don't know.</p> <p>22 Q. Don't know, gotcha. All right. I'm going to attempt</p> <p>23 to share my screen again. So far so good, so</p> <p>24 hopefully it stays that way. All right. Can you see</p> <p>25 that?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 52</p>

13 (Pages 49 to 52)

Selden-Manor, Jami

6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

<p>1 A. I can see it, but it's very small.</p> <p>2 Q. I figured that might be the case. How about that?</p> <p>3 A. Yes, much better.</p> <p>4 Q. Perfect. Perfect. So this is what we'll mark as</p> <p>5 Exhibit B. It's Bates stamp 0000722 on the form,</p> <p>6 which I'm happy to share as need be. It appears to be</p> <p>7 a chart of out-of-state offenses. Have you seen this</p> <p>8 chart before?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 MARKED BY THE REPORTER:</p> <p>11 DEPOSITION EXHIBIT B</p> <p>12 10:11 a.m.</p> <p>13 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>14 Q. Perfect. Can you just briefly explain what it is.</p> <p>15 A. This is a cheat sheet based on state PACC code</p> <p>16 description, tier level, some other notes.</p> <p>17 Q. Gotcha. And is this PACC code chart used in the SOR</p> <p>18 unit?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. And do you know who in the SOR unit uses it?</p> <p>21 A. The staff.</p> <p>22 Q. And do you know when the staff started using it?</p> <p>23 A. I don't know.</p> <p>24 Q. Gotcha. Do you know what it's used for?</p> <p>25 A. Reviewing out-of-state PACC codes and what comparable</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 53</p>	<p>1 tier level to Michigan.</p> <p>2 Q. Perfect. Perfect. So does this chart show</p> <p>3 non-Michigan offenses that have been found to be</p> <p>4 substantially similar to a Michigan registrable</p> <p>5 offense?</p> <p>6 A. Can you repeat that question, please.</p> <p>7 Q. For sure. So does this -- is this chart showing</p> <p>8 non-Michigan offenses that have been found to be</p> <p>9 substantially similar to a Michigan registrable</p> <p>10 offense?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. Gotcha. And does it show offenses that are not</p> <p>13 substantially similar to Michigan offenses but that</p> <p>14 require registration in another convicting state?</p> <p>15 A. I don't know.</p> <p>16 Q. Gotcha. Is it accurate to describe this as a chart</p> <p>17 that shows non-Michigan offenses that have been</p> <p>18 determined in the past to potentially require</p> <p>19 registration in Michigan?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. And do you know how long this chart has been in use?</p> <p>22 A. I do not know.</p> <p>23 Q. Gotcha. Do you have -- do you happen to know when it</p> <p>24 was originally created?</p> <p>25 A. I do not know.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 54</p>
<p>1 Q. Do you happen to know who created it?</p> <p>2 A. I do not know.</p> <p>3 Q. Gotcha. And do you happen to know why it was created?</p> <p>4 A. I do not know.</p> <p>5 Q. For sure. And do you know if it has been updated</p> <p>6 since it was originally created?</p> <p>7 A. I do not know.</p> <p>8 Q. For sure. And can you see where my cursor is right</p> <p>9 now?</p> <p>10 A. Yes, ma'am.</p> <p>11 Q. Can you see these four tabs at the bottom?</p> <p>12 A. Yes, but they're very small.</p> <p>13 Q. They are. They are very small. I'm not entirely sure</p> <p>14 I can do anything about that. Let's see, maybe not, I</p> <p>15 can read them for the record.</p> <p>16 So the first tab says PACC code table. The</p> <p>17 second tab says added after 07/16/2021. And then tab</p> <p>18 three says sheet two and then sheet three. Is that a</p> <p>19 fair representation of what we're looking at?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. Have you ever looked at these other sheets?</p> <p>22 A. I have looked at the second tab, added after.</p> <p>23 Q. Gotcha. Gotcha. And so, do you know what is</p> <p>24 different about the second tab than this first tab</p> <p>25 that we're looking at?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 55</p>	<p>1 A. I do not know.</p> <p>2 Q. Don't know, gotcha. Are the -- do you know if the</p> <p>3 non-Michigan offenses on the second tab -- I can go in</p> <p>4 there and zoom in -- do you know if the Michigan</p> <p>5 offenses listed here were classified after July 16th,</p> <p>6 2021?</p> <p>7 A. I don't know.</p> <p>8 Q. Don't know, gotcha. Do you know if the -- the</p> <p>9 non-Michigan offenses in this first tab were</p> <p>10 classified before July 16th, 2021?</p> <p>11 A. I don't know.</p> <p>12 Q. Don't know, gotcha. So if I scroll down all the way</p> <p>13 to the bottom of this sheet -- I think I can do that a</p> <p>14 little faster than that -- without counting the</p> <p>15 header, it looks like there are about two thousand,</p> <p>16 two hundred and seven non-Michigan offenses that have</p> <p>17 been found to be substantially similar to a Michigan</p> <p>18 offense. Do you see that?</p> <p>19 A. I see that, yes.</p> <p>20 Q. And on the second tab, same thing, about fifty-two</p> <p>21 non-Michigan offenses, not counting for the header.</p> <p>22 Do you see that?</p> <p>23 A. Yes, for out of state, uh-huh.</p> <p>24 Q. And it looks like it has a date of 6/29 -- this</p> <p>25 table -- it looks like it has a date of 6/29/2022 --</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 56</p>

14 (Pages 53 to 56)

Selden-Manor, Jami

6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

<p>1 and I just closed it. There we go. It looks like</p> <p>2 6/29/2022. Did you see that in the title?</p> <p>3 A. I do see that, yes.</p> <p>4 Q. Is it fair to assume the table includes information at</p> <p>5 least through that date?</p> <p>6 A. I would assume so.</p> <p>7 Q. Gotcha. So as of when this chart was produced to us,</p> <p>8 two thousand, two hundred and fifty-nine offenses have</p> <p>9 been classified as substantially similar to a Michigan</p> <p>10 registrable offense, correct?</p> <p>11 A. If your math is correct.</p> <p>12 Q. For sure. And do you know if that number has changed</p> <p>13 since 2022?</p> <p>14 A. I do not know.</p> <p>15 Q. Gotcha. And would you happen to know how many are</p> <p>16 classified totally as of today?</p> <p>17 A. I do not know.</p> <p>18 Q. Gotcha. Okay. So I do want to just kind of walk down</p> <p>19 this table a bit, just to get a better understanding</p> <p>20 of some of the information on it. But I do think I</p> <p>21 need to unhide some of the columns first to do that.</p> <p>22 Like I said, I want to get a better understanding of</p> <p>23 what -- kind of what everything means on this chart.</p> <p>24 So in column A, here, this is the</p> <p>25 convicting jurisdiction, correct?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 57</p>	<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. Perfect. And in column B, that's the statutory</p> <p>3 citation for the conviction?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. And then this column, this is just the offense name?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. And then this column says CRR. Do you know what that</p> <p>8 acronym stands for?</p> <p>9 A. I do not.</p> <p>10 Q. Gotcha. Do you know what the different numbers in the</p> <p>11 field might stand for?</p> <p>12 A. I do not.</p> <p>13 Q. Gotcha. And then columns E through L, the ones I just</p> <p>14 unhid, they are each represented by about three --</p> <p>15 two- to three-letter acronyms. Do you know what any</p> <p>16 of them stand for?</p> <p>17 A. I do not.</p> <p>18 Q. Do you know what it means if one of these fields is</p> <p>19 blank?</p> <p>20 A. I do not.</p> <p>21 Q. Gotcha. And then column M here, it's listed as --</p> <p>22 it's labelled as non-listed. Do you know what that</p> <p>23 means?</p> <p>24 A. I do not.</p> <p>25 Q. Do you know what the different letters in this field</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 58</p>
<p>1 might mean?</p> <p>2 A. I'm assuming N means no.</p> <p>3 Q. And Y might mean yes?</p> <p>4 A. I would assume that, yes.</p> <p>5 Q. And column N is called tier level. Do you know what</p> <p>6 that means?</p> <p>7 A. It would be the tier level of that PACC.</p> <p>8 Q. So that's the Michigan tier level that has been</p> <p>9 assigned to that out-of-state offense?</p> <p>10 A. I don't know.</p> <p>11 Q. So do -- does this column correspond to the different</p> <p>12 -- the different rows in these columns?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. Gotcha. So for example, Massachusetts 272-16 has been</p> <p>15 coded as a tier I, is that right?</p> <p>16 A. That's what I would assume, yes.</p> <p>17 Q. Gotcha. And what does it mean if a tier is left</p> <p>18 blank?</p> <p>19 A. I don't know.</p> <p>20 Q. Do you know if the SOR unit would need to get</p> <p>21 additional information to populate that tier?</p> <p>22 A. I don't know.</p> <p>23 Q. Gotcha. All right. So then moving on to column O,</p> <p>24 this says published single offense. Do you know what</p> <p>25 that means?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 59</p>	<p>1 A. I don't know.</p> <p>2 Q. Do you know what it means if something's left blank?</p> <p>3 A. I do not know.</p> <p>4 Q. Gotcha. And then column Q -- actually column P,</p> <p>5 excuse me, says victim age begin. Do you know what</p> <p>6 that means?</p> <p>7 A. I'm assuming that's the victim age.</p> <p>8 Q. Gotcha. Do you know what it might mean if the column</p> <p>9 is blank?</p> <p>10 A. I do not know.</p> <p>11 Q. Gotcha. And column Q kind of similarly says victim</p> <p>12 age end. Do you know what that means?</p> <p>13 A. I would assume it would be the ending age of that PACC</p> <p>14 code.</p> <p>15 Q. And do you know what it means if it's blank?</p> <p>16 A. I do not know.</p> <p>17 Q. Do you know if victim age is used to determine</p> <p>18 whether -- what the tier level should be for some</p> <p>19 out-of-state offenses?</p> <p>20 A. I believe so, yes.</p> <p>21 Q. Gotcha. And is victim age also used to determine what</p> <p>22 offense is substantially similar in the first place?</p> <p>23 A. I don't know.</p> <p>24 Q. Gotcha. Then column R says approved by MSP legal. Do</p> <p>25 you know what that means?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 60</p>

15 (Pages 57 to 60)

Selden-Manor, Jami

6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. And what does that mean?</p> <p>3 A. If it's went to legal for review.</p> <p>4 Q. Gotcha. Went to legal for review. And so, when I</p> <p>5 sort this column, it looks like I get about eighteen</p> <p>6 cases that show as approved by legal. Do you see</p> <p>7 that?</p> <p>8 A. I do.</p> <p>9 Q. Perfect. Do you know what that means?</p> <p>10 A. I'm assuming legal reviewed those.</p> <p>11 Q. Gotcha. And then going to the second tab, it looks</p> <p>12 like there are zero cases where the -- there's</p> <p>13 something in the approved by MSP legal. Do you see</p> <p>14 that?</p> <p>15 A. I do.</p> <p>16 Q. What does that mean when there's no entry at all?</p> <p>17 A. I don't know.</p> <p>18 Q. And are there circumstances where a notation might be</p> <p>19 made?</p> <p>20 A. A notation?</p> <p>21 Q. On the record.</p> <p>22 A. If you look -- scroll up, I believe there's a notes on</p> <p>23 the far right.</p> <p>24 Q. There we go. And what kind of notes might be added to</p> <p>25 this field?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 61</p>	<p>1 A. Any additional notes that the analyst's reviewing or</p> <p>2 may need that doesn't fit the category before.</p> <p>3 Q. And then going back to our first table, column S says</p> <p>4 comparable Michigan code. Do you know what that</p> <p>5 column is used for?</p> <p>6 A. Can you scroll over. I can't see that.</p> <p>7 Q. Yeah, for sure. Is that better?</p> <p>8 A. Yes. That would be the Michigan code that's</p> <p>9 comparable to that out-of-state offense.</p> <p>10 Q. Gotcha. So that is -- that is supposed to show --</p> <p>11 that is supposed to show the Michigan offense that is</p> <p>12 substantially similar to the out-of-state offense</p> <p>13 coded on the left here?</p> <p>14 A. That's my understanding, yes.</p> <p>15 Q. Do you know who inputs that information into this</p> <p>16 column, into column S?</p> <p>17 A. One of the SOR unit staff members.</p> <p>18 Q. And so, just doing the sorting thing again, it looks</p> <p>19 like when I sort this column, I get about forty-two --</p> <p>20 forty-one or forty-two -- about -- about forty-one or</p> <p>21 forty-two rows where it says the comparable Michigan</p> <p>22 offense is listed. Do you see that?</p> <p>23 A. I do.</p> <p>24 Q. Perfect. Perfect. And when I sort on the second tab,</p> <p>25 doing the same thing, it looks like I get one. Do you</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 62</p>
<p>1 see that?</p> <p>2 A. One? I thought I saw one on the lower left when you</p> <p>3 sort the -- no, it's one.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. Perfect. So out of the two thousand, two</p> <p>5 hundred and fifty-seven offenses that we talked about</p> <p>6 earlier, about forty-two, forty-three show a</p> <p>7 comparable Michigan offense code, is that correct?</p> <p>8 A. That would be my assumption, yes.</p> <p>9 Q. And do you know what it means when the comparable</p> <p>10 Michigan code column is blank?</p> <p>11 A. I do not.</p> <p>12 Q. Gotcha. Do you know if this chart includes offenses</p> <p>13 that are not comparable to Michigan offenses but</p> <p>14 require registration in the state of conviction?</p> <p>15 A. I don't know that.</p> <p>16 Q. Don't know. And do you know why that information</p> <p>17 might be excluded from this chart?</p> <p>18 A. I have no idea.</p> <p>19 Q. Gotcha. And do you know if the SOR unit keeps</p> <p>20 information elsewhere about what comparable Michigan</p> <p>21 code is for out-of-state offenses?</p> <p>22 A. I am -- I don't know.</p> <p>23 Q. Do you know of any other cheat sheets or charts kind</p> <p>24 of similar to this one?</p> <p>25 A. No, ma'am.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 63</p>	<p>1 Q. Gotcha. Are you -- actually, I withdraw that last</p> <p>2 question I was about to ask.</p> <p>3 If an attorney contacts the SOR unit and</p> <p>4 says my client was convicted of murder under -- let's</p> <p>5 say -- let's use one of these for example. We've got</p> <p>6 -- actually, I'm on the wrong sheet. That explains a</p> <p>7 lot.</p> <p>8 So we've got Arizona code 13-14 -- so if an</p> <p>9 attorney were to contact the SOR unit and say that my</p> <p>10 client was convicted under this particular statute,</p> <p>11 Arizona 13-1406.1 of sexual assault of a spouse and</p> <p>12 wants to know what the MSP thinks the substantially</p> <p>13 similar offense is, what would the SOR unit need to</p> <p>14 answer that question?</p> <p>15 A. Well, we would look at this and it's -- if you scroll</p> <p>16 to the right, I believe it's blank. So we would</p> <p>17 consult our legal.</p> <p>18 Q. Gotcha. Still using this chart, but different kind of</p> <p>19 train of questions. Do you know if this chart is</p> <p>20 integrated or interfaced at all with the MSOR</p> <p>21 database?</p> <p>22 A. I don't believe it is.</p> <p>23 Q. Don't believe it is, gotcha. We previously heard some</p> <p>24 testimony that the table is able to populate tier</p> <p>25 levels in the MSOR database. Is that different from</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 64</p>

16 (Pages 61 to 64)

Selden-Manor, Jami

6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

<p>1 your understanding of how the chart is used?</p> <p>2 MR. DAMICH: Objection, lack of foundation.</p> <p>3 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>4 Q. You can answer if you know.</p> <p>5 A. I don't know.</p> <p>6 Q. Do you know if the information in this chart is ever</p> <p>7 used to automatically determine the tier level or</p> <p>8 duration for a registrant in the MSOR database?</p> <p>9 A. I believe it is used to confirm or ensure that the</p> <p>10 proper tier is made.</p> <p>11 Q. Gotcha. But that would -- that process would be more</p> <p>12 manual. So someone would look at this chart, kind of</p> <p>13 confer with it, and then make that determination and</p> <p>14 then manually enter that information into the</p> <p>15 database, it doesn't auto-populate it?</p> <p>16 A. MSOR and this don't talk together, if that's what</p> <p>17 you're asking.</p> <p>18 Q. Gotcha. So they do not talk, gotcha. When new</p> <p>19 out-of-state offenses are classified, do you know if</p> <p>20 those offenses are added to the PACC code chart?</p> <p>21 A. I -- can you repeat that question.</p> <p>22 Q. So if a new out-of-state offense is classified and</p> <p>23 it's not already in this chart, do you know if that</p> <p>24 offense is then added to the PACC code chart?</p> <p>25 A. It is updated, yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 65</p>	<p>1 Q. And how far after kind of coming into contact with</p> <p>2 that new offense is it added to the chart?</p> <p>3 A. I don't know that.</p> <p>4 Q. Gotcha. Do you know who adds them?</p> <p>5 A. One of my analysts does.</p> <p>6 Q. Gotcha. So once the SOR unit staff start inputting a</p> <p>7 person into the MSOR database, do you know if there</p> <p>8 are circumstances where the database might</p> <p>9 automatically tier a -- or automatically assign a tier</p> <p>10 and duration for a registrant?</p> <p>11 A. Based on PACC code.</p> <p>12 Q. Are there circumstances where that wouldn't happen?</p> <p>13 Where a tier or duration would not be automatically</p> <p>14 assigned?</p> <p>15 A. I don't know that.</p> <p>16 Q. And to your understanding, does this mean that if a</p> <p>17 SOR staff member enters an out-of-state offense that</p> <p>18 is already listed on the PACC chart, when that -- when</p> <p>19 that registrant or that MCL code is then entered into</p> <p>20 the MSOR database, the database will automatically</p> <p>21 assign a tier and duration based on the information</p> <p>22 that was coded into this chart?</p> <p>23 A. I don't know.</p> <p>24 Q. Gotcha.</p> <p>25 A. I'm not familiar with that.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 66</p>
<p>1 Q. Do you know if there are offenses listed in this table</p> <p>2 where the tier level is blank?</p> <p>3 A. I'm looking at several right now, yes.</p> <p>4 Q. And what happens when SOR staff enter an offense into</p> <p>5 the MSOR database where the tier level is blank on the</p> <p>6 chart -- on the PACC chart?</p> <p>7 A. I don't know. We usually refer to legal if we have</p> <p>8 any questions.</p> <p>9 Q. Gotcha. Do you know if some classifications depend on</p> <p>10 victim's age?</p> <p>11 A. I believe so, yes.</p> <p>12 Q. Do you know what happens if tiering an offense depends</p> <p>13 on victim age?</p> <p>14 A. The offense -- the tier could be different based on</p> <p>15 the age of the victim.</p> <p>16 Q. Do you know if the SOR unit staff do the work to</p> <p>17 determine the victim age?</p> <p>18 A. What do you mean by that?</p> <p>19 Q. Like do -- is that information that the SOR unit staff</p> <p>20 have to go find or ask for? Or is that information</p> <p>21 that you generally already have?</p> <p>22 A. If we do not have it and it's needed, then, yes, we --</p> <p>23 we do everything we can to find that information.</p> <p>24 Q. Gotcha. And once the SOR unit is able to determine</p> <p>25 the age of the victim, do they enter that into the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 67</p>	<p>1 MSOR database?</p> <p>2 A. I believe there's a field, yes.</p> <p>3 Q. And after that field has been populated, would the</p> <p>4 system then assign a tier?</p> <p>5 A. I believe so, yes.</p> <p>6 Q. Gotcha. And does anything else have to happen for</p> <p>7 that process to take place?</p> <p>8 A. The policy and procedure is followed.</p> <p>9 Q. And do you know if those cases are ever sent to legal</p> <p>10 before they are published?</p> <p>11 A. Some cases are, yes.</p> <p>12 Q. Gotcha. And what cases would those be -- or in what</p> <p>13 circumstances would they be sent to legal?</p> <p>14 A. If there's any question. If we are unsure of the</p> <p>15 proper tier or if the PACC code, in this case, from</p> <p>16 another state is comparable to a Michigan one. If we</p> <p>17 have any question, we send it to legal.</p> <p>18 Q. Gotcha. And do you know what happens when a</p> <p>19 technician enters an offense where the published field</p> <p>20 is marked yes on the PACC chart?</p> <p>21 A. When a technician enters an offense?</p> <p>22 Q. So if a technician is entering an offense code or --</p> <p>23 yeah, an offense code in the MSOR database, but in the</p> <p>24 PACC chart for that MC -- or for that PACC code, the</p> <p>25 publish field is marked blank or not -- excuse me, let</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 68</p>

17 (Pages 65 to 68)

Selden-Manor, Jami

6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

<p>1 me rephrase that question.</p> <p>2 When a technician is entering an offense in</p> <p>3 the MSOR database and the PACC code chart that -- or</p> <p>4 that statute is marked with a yes under the publish</p> <p>5 field, do you know what happens in the database?</p> <p>6 A. I don't know.</p> <p>7 Q. Do you know if the system would code the person as</p> <p>8 being on the public registry?</p> <p>9 A. I don't know.</p> <p>10 Q. Do you know what happens if the publish field is just</p> <p>11 blank at all?</p> <p>12 A. I don't know.</p> <p>13 Q. Gotcha. When tier levels are automatically assigned,</p> <p>14 are there other steps that need to take place before</p> <p>15 publication?</p> <p>16 A. We follow policy and procedure.</p> <p>17 Q. And which policy and procedure would that be?</p> <p>18 A. I don't know the number.</p> <p>19 Q. Is there any review process involved in that</p> <p>20 procedure?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. Gotcha. And are you involved in the review process?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. Gotcha. And would there be circumstances where that</p> <p>25 record might be sent to legal?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 69</p>	<p>1 A. If there is any question, yes.</p> <p>2 Q. And just to kind of make sure I'm understanding kind</p> <p>3 of the full breadth of this chart, so based on your</p> <p>4 understanding, where the tier level is listed on the</p> <p>5 PACC chart, the MSOR database might automatically code</p> <p>6 the person according to the tier as it relates to the</p> <p>7 out-of-state conviction, is that right?</p> <p>8 A. I don't know that.</p> <p>9 Q. And in situations where a case is not further reviewed</p> <p>10 because the decision was already made in the past</p> <p>11 about the non-Michigan offense, would that</p> <p>12 automatically tier in the MSOR database?</p> <p>13 A. Based on the --</p> <p>14 Q. Based on the --</p> <p>15 A. -- based on the PACC code Michigan offense, like</p> <p>16 Michigan offense, yes.</p> <p>17 Q. Gotcha. Perfect. And if -- if an offense is</p> <p>18 automatically tiered based on this PACC code chart,</p> <p>19 would it require any further review unless there were</p> <p>20 questions?</p> <p>21 A. Yes. It's always double-checked.</p> <p>22 Q. Double-checked, gotcha. And where the tier level is</p> <p>23 not listed on the PACC code chart, that generally</p> <p>24 means further information might be needed to make a</p> <p>25 determination about tier and duration?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 70</p>
<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. Gotcha. Perfect. So again, I want to just explore a</p> <p>3 couple of examples just listed on this chart about how</p> <p>4 someone might process the information that's provided</p> <p>5 on this chart.</p> <p>6 So looking at AK, so an Arkansas offense,</p> <p>7 11.41.458. So this is a conviction for Arkansas</p> <p>8 11.41.458, which is indecent exposure in the first</p> <p>9 degree. Do you know if this offense is listed because</p> <p>10 it is substantially similar to a Michigan offense? Or</p> <p>11 because the individual would have had to register</p> <p>12 under Arkansas law?</p> <p>13 MR. DAMICH: Objection, lack of foundation.</p> <p>14 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>15 Q. If you know.</p> <p>16 A. I don't know.</p> <p>17 Q. So the PACC code chart does not list it at -- or does</p> <p>18 not list a comparable Michigan code or a substantially</p> <p>19 similar offense. Do you see that?</p> <p>20 A. I can't see that, no.</p> <p>21 Q. Let me scroll over. Can you see that now?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. Perfect. Do you know offhand what might be a</p> <p>24 substantially similar offense to this Arkansas</p> <p>25 offense?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 71</p>	<p>1 A. No, I don't.</p> <p>2 MR. DAMICH: Objection, lack of foundation.</p> <p>3 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>4 Q. And the chart does list this offense as a tier I</p> <p>5 offense, correct?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. So if someone from the SOR unit enters a person with</p> <p>8 this Arkansas conviction for indecent exposure in the</p> <p>9 first degree, does the SOR database automatically</p> <p>10 assign that person as tier I?</p> <p>11 A. I don't know.</p> <p>12 Q. Gotcha. If the MSOR database does do automatic</p> <p>13 assignments, is that handled at the level of the SOR</p> <p>14 unit technicians?</p> <p>15 A. I believe it's at the analyst, but I.</p> <p>16 Q. Analyst. Okay. And then just to use another example,</p> <p>17 so this is Arkansas 11.41.460 for indecent exposure in</p> <p>18 the second degree. Do you see that?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. And here the PACC code table does not assign a tier</p> <p>21 level. Do you see that?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. It also doesn't show a substantially similar or</p> <p>24 comparable Michigan offense, right?</p> <p>25 A. Correct.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 72</p>

18 (Pages 69 to 72)

Selden-Manor, Jami

6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

<p>1 Q. Do you know offhand what offense MSP might consider to</p> <p>2 be substantially similar?</p> <p>3 A. No, I do not.</p> <p>4 Q. What would happen if a person with the Arkansas</p> <p>5 indecent exposure in the second degree came to</p> <p>6 Michigan?</p> <p>7 A. We would look at that PACC code and the law for</p> <p>8 Arkansas and review Michigan law for a comparable. If</p> <p>9 we do not know, we send to legal.</p> <p>10 Q. Gotcha. Is there particular information or specific</p> <p>11 information that you would need in order to determine</p> <p>12 the registration requirements for this individual?</p> <p>13 A. We would need to know what Arkansas' requirements are.</p> <p>14 Q. And do you know who ultimately makes the decision or</p> <p>15 determination of what the registration requirements</p> <p>16 might be?</p> <p>17 A. The analyst recommends and then we send to legal if we</p> <p>18 have any question.</p> <p>19 Q. Gotcha. If a tier doesn't automatically populate from</p> <p>20 based on the PACC chart, do you know how a tier might</p> <p>21 be assigned?</p> <p>22 A. I do not know.</p> <p>23 Q. And same question for publication status; if it</p> <p>24 doesn't automatically populate, do you know how it</p> <p>25 might be decided?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 73</p>	<p>1 A. I do not know.</p> <p>2 Q. Gotcha.</p> <p>3 A. I'm assuming it's in policy.</p> <p>4 Q. For sure. And are -- are there instances where you</p> <p>5 might need additional information to assign the tier?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. And is that additional information reviewed if</p> <p>8 received?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. And is that information reviewed by the same analyst</p> <p>11 who was doing the initial record review? Or would it</p> <p>12 go on to a different analyst or technician?</p> <p>13 A. It starts with a technician. It goes to the analyst.</p> <p>14 The analyst further -- has further questions, he or</p> <p>15 she may consult with another analyst.</p> <p>16 Q. Gotcha. And then Alabama 13A-6-20, there we go. So</p> <p>17 this is the Alabama statute 13A-6-20, which is assault</p> <p>18 in the first degree. So based on the PACC table here,</p> <p>19 it looks like the tier level is determined by the age</p> <p>20 of the victim?</p> <p>21 A. That's what I would assume, yes.</p> <p>22 Q. So if the SOR unit staff enters a person with this</p> <p>23 conviction, what would happen?</p> <p>24 A. I don't know. I assume that it would be entered as</p> <p>25 what it shows here. I don't know if it does it</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 74</p>
<p>1 automatically or not.</p> <p>2 Q. Gotcha. Would the SOR unit staff member need to get</p> <p>3 the victim age information to make this classification</p> <p>4 accurately?</p> <p>5 A. It appears so, yes.</p> <p>6 Q. So let's say the technician was able to get police</p> <p>7 reports from the convicting state and the reports say</p> <p>8 the victim was seventeen years old, what happens next?</p> <p>9 A. It would go to an analyst for review.</p> <p>10 Q. Gotcha. If that age information is inputted then into</p> <p>11 the MSOR database, would the database then assign that</p> <p>12 person to tier II?</p> <p>13 A. I don't know if it does with the out-of-state ones.</p> <p>14 Q. Gotcha. Do you know if this out-of-state conviction</p> <p>15 specifically is listed because it's considered</p> <p>16 substantially similar to a Michigan offense? Or</p> <p>17 because it requires registration in Alabama?</p> <p>18 A. I don't know.</p> <p>19 Q. Don't know, gotcha. As we can see here it -- there is</p> <p>20 no comparable Michigan code or substantially similar</p> <p>21 offense listed here, correct?</p> <p>22 A. I can't see that.</p> <p>23 Q. Let me scroll over. How about now?</p> <p>24 A. Correct.</p> <p>25 Q. And do you know offhand what offense might be</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 75</p>	<p>1 substantially similar?</p> <p>2 A. I do not know.</p> <p>3 Q. Gotcha. And it looks like -- my understanding at</p> <p>4 least based on this chart -- is that this is listed to</p> <p>5 automatically tier the person, is that correct?</p> <p>6 A. I don't know.</p> <p>7 Q. Gotcha.</p> <p>8 MR. DAMICH: Dayja, do you mind if we take</p> <p>9 like a five- or ten-minute break?</p> <p>10 MS. TILLMAN: Yeah, no, that's perfectly</p> <p>11 fine.</p> <p>12 (Off the record 10:46 a.m.)</p> <p>13 (Back on the record at 11:00 a.m.)</p> <p>14 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>15 Q. I'm going to briefly re-share my screen with the PACC</p> <p>16 chart. And can you still see that?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. Perfect. I want to go through one more example from</p> <p>19 this chart just to make sure I understand how it</p> <p>20 works. Okay.</p> <p>21 So this is Georgia 16-6-2 for sodomy. The</p> <p>22 PACC code table shows this results in a tier III</p> <p>23 publication. Do you see that?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. Did you see that, more so. Okay.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 76</p>

19 (Pages 73 to 76)

Selden-Manor, Jami
6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

<p>1 Do you know if this is programmed into the</p> <p>2 MSOR database to automatically tier a person with a</p> <p>3 Georgia sodomy conviction as a tier III?</p> <p>4 A. I don't know.</p> <p>5 MR. DAMICH: Objection, lack of foundation.</p> <p>6 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>7 Q. And do you know if that -- do you know if the offense</p> <p>8 is listed in this chart because it's substantially</p> <p>9 similar to a Michigan offense? Or because you have to</p> <p>10 register in Georgia?</p> <p>11 MR. DAMICH: Same objection.</p> <p>12 THE WITNESS: I don't know.</p> <p>13 MR. DAMICH: Objection, lack of foundation.</p> <p>14 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>15 Q. And there is no comparable Michigan code listed here.</p> <p>16 Do you see that?</p> <p>17 A. Correct.</p> <p>18 Q. Do you know what would be the comparable Michigan</p> <p>19 offense off the top of your head?</p> <p>20 A. I don't.</p> <p>21 MR. DAMICH: Objection, lack of foundation.</p> <p>22 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>23 Q. And in Michigan sodomy is only registrable if against</p> <p>24 a minor, correct?</p> <p>25 A. I'd have to review to statute.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 77</p>	<p>1 Q. Sure. And certain consensual sodomy with underage</p> <p>2 teens is not registrable if less than a four-year age</p> <p>3 difference, correct?</p> <p>4 MR. DAMICH: Objection, form.</p> <p>5 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>6 Q. You can answer if you know.</p> <p>7 A. I don't know.</p> <p>8 Q. And the PACC chart doesn't list a victim age in this</p> <p>9 line, right, for this conviction?</p> <p>10 A. It's empty, correct.</p> <p>11 Q. So if the PACC table was used to program the system,</p> <p>12 then the MSOR database would automatically assign a</p> <p>13 person with a Georgia sodomy conviction to tier III,</p> <p>14 lifetime public registration, even if the offense was</p> <p>15 against an adult, correct?</p> <p>16 MR. DAMICH: Objection, form, ambiguous,</p> <p>17 lack of foundation, confusing. You can answer.</p> <p>18 THE WITNESS: I don't understand the</p> <p>19 question.</p> <p>20 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>21 Q. I can rephrase. So because the PACC chart codes a</p> <p>22 sodomy offense from Georgia as tier III, if the</p> <p>23 MSOR -- the MSOR database would automatically assign a</p> <p>24 person with a Georgia sodomy conviction to tier III,</p> <p>25 even if the offense was against an adult because there</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 78</p>
<p>1 is victim age missing, correct?</p> <p>2 MR. DAMICH: Same objection.</p> <p>3 THE WITNESS: I don't know.</p> <p>4 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>5 Q. And what if the offense involved sexual activity</p> <p>6 between teenagers, one of whom was old enough to</p> <p>7 consent, it would still list them as a tier III</p> <p>8 registrant, correct?</p> <p>9 A. I don't know.</p> <p>10 Q. But based on what this chart is showing, is that a</p> <p>11 fair assumption?</p> <p>12 MR. DAMICH: Objection, form.</p> <p>13 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>14 Q. You can answer if you can.</p> <p>15 A. Based on what the chart is showing. It's not implying</p> <p>16 it's automatically entered that way in MSOR.</p> <p>17 Q. Gotcha. And then I'm going to stop sharing this</p> <p>18 chart. There we go. All right.</p> <p>19 So let's say there's an offense on the PACC</p> <p>20 chart and that offense has been populated into the</p> <p>21 MSOR database, are there circumstances where you might</p> <p>22 still confer with legal to determine registration</p> <p>23 requirements anyway?</p> <p>24 A. If there are further questions, yes.</p> <p>25 Q. Gotcha. What kind of questions might come up?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 79</p>	<p>1 A. Maybe the court order is different or we want to</p> <p>2 confirm something. If there's any question or doubt,</p> <p>3 then it is referred to legal.</p> <p>4 Q. Gotcha. All right. And I'm going to share a</p> <p>5 different screen. Can you see this?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. Perfect. So this is what we will mark as Exhibit C.</p> <p>8 This is Bates number 0000723 through 724. Have you</p> <p>9 seen this before?</p> <p>10 A. I have.</p> <p>11 MARKED BY THE REPORTER:</p> <p>12 DEPOSITION EXHIBIT C</p> <p>13 11:05 a.m.</p> <p>14 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>15 Q. And you're familiar with this document?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. And can you briefly describe what it is.</p> <p>18 A. It's the steps in which out of state is figured for</p> <p>19 adult and juvenile.</p> <p>20 Q. Have you ever used this chart?</p> <p>21 A. I have not personally, no.</p> <p>22 Q. Do you know if your staff in the SOR unit has used</p> <p>23 this chart before?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. And why might they use this chart?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 80</p>

20 (Pages 77 to 80)

Selden-Manor, Jami

6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

<p>1 A. Somebody is coming from out of state.</p> <p>2 Q. Someone is coming from out of state and they use the</p> <p>3 chart to help determine their registration</p> <p>4 requirements?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. Gotcha. So if an offense is already listed on the</p> <p>7 PACC chart, which we already talked about, do you know</p> <p>8 if a technician or analyst would need to go through</p> <p>9 the process on the flowchart to figure out a -- or to</p> <p>10 make a classification?</p> <p>11 A. They would refer to it, yes.</p> <p>12 Q. Gotcha. And why would they refer to it?</p> <p>13 A. To confirm that the steps were properly done.</p> <p>14 Q. So they would use both the flowchart and the PACC</p> <p>15 chart?</p> <p>16 A. Per policy, yes.</p> <p>17 Q. Gotcha. And so, when the tiers columns or publication</p> <p>18 columns are blank in the PACC chart, you would need to</p> <p>19 use the flowchart to kind of help make that</p> <p>20 determination?</p> <p>21 A. I would assume so, yes.</p> <p>22 Q. And if there's a new offense that hasn't previously</p> <p>23 come up, do you know if that is a situation where you</p> <p>24 would also use the flowcharts?</p> <p>25 A. Yes. And refer to legal.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 81</p>	<p>1 Q. Gotcha. And so, at the bottom of this flowchart, it</p> <p>2 looks like it's on both pages, it says if the crime</p> <p>3 code is not listed or tiered in the PACC code table,</p> <p>4 consult with an analyst before moving to the next</p> <p>5 step. Do you see that?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. And do you know what this means?</p> <p>8 A. It would be referring to an analyst to confirm the</p> <p>9 tier.</p> <p>10 Q. When would a technician in the SOR unit consult with</p> <p>11 an analyst in this regard?</p> <p>12 A. When they're reviewing the information in MSOR.</p> <p>13 Q. Do you know when the MSP legal unit is involved in the</p> <p>14 decision about whether a person with an out-of-state</p> <p>15 conviction must register?</p> <p>16 A. When there's any question, we have a -- an analyst</p> <p>17 that is very familiar with this process, and she will</p> <p>18 refer to legal if she is in any question.</p> <p>19 Q. Who is that person?</p> <p>20 A. Ms. Sharon.</p> <p>21 Q. I'm sorry? I missed that, it broke up.</p> <p>22 A. Sharon Jegla.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. Gotcha. And are there any other MSP staff</p> <p>24 involved in making determinations for these</p> <p>25 out-of-state convictions?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 82</p>
<p>1 A. Any analyst. She's the senior analyst that's very</p> <p>2 familiar with the process, so she's often consulted.</p> <p>3 Q. And do you know how many of these decisions are being</p> <p>4 made on average a month?</p> <p>5 A. I do not know.</p> <p>6 Q. Gotcha. Do you know -- never mind. I'm actually</p> <p>7 going to stop sharing my screen again. We should be</p> <p>8 good with this one. All right.</p> <p>9 So were you aware that SORA was first</p> <p>10 amended to require tiers in 2011?</p> <p>11 A. Say that again.</p> <p>12 Q. Were you aware that the SORA law was first amended to</p> <p>13 require tiers in 2011?</p> <p>14 A. I have reviewed statute, I don't recall the exact</p> <p>15 date.</p> <p>16 Q. Gotcha.</p> <p>17 A. Or year.</p> <p>18 Q. So -- but you were aware that when -- initially when</p> <p>19 SORA went into effect initially in 1995, there were no</p> <p>20 tier classifications at the time?</p> <p>21 A. I believe so. I wasn't here at the time, I can't</p> <p>22 really say for sure.</p> <p>23 Q. So would that mean that many offenses would have</p> <p>24 needed to be classified post-2011 once the tiers were</p> <p>25 created?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 83</p>	<p>1 A. I don't know.</p> <p>2 Q. Do you know if there has been any systematic review to</p> <p>3 determine the accuracy of the classifications made in</p> <p>4 2011?</p> <p>5 A. I wasn't here then, I don't know.</p> <p>6 Q. Do you know who is typically involved in updating the</p> <p>7 chart when a determination is made?</p> <p>8 A. Yes, Ms. Sharon Jegla.</p> <p>9 Q. Gotcha. And have you made any of these determinations</p> <p>10 since being in the SOR unit?</p> <p>11 A. No.</p> <p>12 Q. Gotcha. All right. And I'm going to switch gears</p> <p>13 here a little bit from talking about the out-of-state</p> <p>14 registration. And share my screen again, give me one</p> <p>15 second. Can you see that?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. Perfect. Let me make it smaller so you can see the</p> <p>18 whole thing. And I can briefly scroll through so you</p> <p>19 can see everything that's in here. So this is what we</p> <p>20 will mark as Exhibit D. This is Bates number 0001653</p> <p>21 through 1655 and 0001673.</p> <p>22 It appears to be letters sent to</p> <p>23 registrants informing them of their registration</p> <p>24 requirements. Have you seen these documents before?</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 84</p>

21 (Pages 81 to 84)

Selden-Manor, Jami
6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

<p>1 MARKED BY THE REPORTER: 2 DEPOSITION EXHIBIT D 3 11:13 a.m. 4 BY MS. TILLMAN: 5 Q. And are you familiar with them? 6 A. No. 7 Q. But you have seen them before? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Gotcha. So these are four different letters. Just 10 based on what you do know about them or what you can 11 see, can you explain what the letters might have been 12 used for. 13 A. It appears to be an audit. As it states in the 14 letter, the department has performed an audit. 15 Q. And do you know who these letters went out to? 16 A. I'm assuming a sex offender. 17 Q. And you mentioned that, you know, based on your 18 reading that this was an audit, do you know what the 19 purpose of the audit might have been? 20 A. I do not. 21 Q. Gotcha. And do you know why there were four different 22 letters? 23 A. I do not. I was not here at that time. 24 Q. Gotcha. Do you know who might have written these 25 letters?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 85</p>	<p>1 A. I can tell you that Mr. Shawn Sible was the one that 2 signed them. 3 Q. And it looks like -- 4 A. I don't know who -- 5 Q. Sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt you. 6 A. I don't know who wrote them. 7 Q. Gotcha. The first three letters are dated January 8 27th, 2023, and the last letter is dated February 9 24th, 2023. Do you see that? 10 A. Yes, I see that. 11 Q. Do you know what those dates mean? 12 A. I do not. 13 Q. Gotcha. Is it possible that that's the date that 14 these letters went out? 15 A. I would assume so. 16 Q. Gotcha. And you said you can see that it's signed by 17 Shawn Sible? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. What's his position? 20 A. He's the assistant division director. 21 Q. Do you know what his responsibilities are as they 22 relate to SORA? 23 A. He's the assistant division director over CJIC, which 24 incorporates SOR. 25 Q. What might he -- what might his duties be that overlap</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 86</p>
<p>1 with the SOR unit? 2 A. He oversees my manager that oversees me. 3 Q. Gotcha. Do you know if anyone other than Mr. Sible 4 who signed off here was involved in drafting or 5 writing these letters? 6 A. I have no idea. 7 Q. And do you know at all if these are current letters? 8 If these are the current letters being used? 9 A. I do not know. 10 Q. Gotcha. Do you know how many of these letters might 11 have gone out? 12 A. I do not know. 13 Q. And since you've been in the registry, has the -- 14 since you've been with the SOR unit, excuse me, has 15 the SOR unit received any calls from registrants about 16 these letters? 17 A. I do not know. 18 Q. Gotcha. Let me pull these down then. Do you know or 19 are you aware if the SOR unit has ever needed to 20 classify a potential registrant with a federal 21 conviction? 22 A. I do not know. 23 Q. Do not know. So you're not sure if there's any 24 registrants in the -- currently on the registry who 25 are on the registry because of a federal conviction?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 87</p>	<p>1 A. I don't know. 2 Q. Gotcha. Do you know if the steps of classifying a 3 registrant with a federal conviction might be 4 different or the same as the process for registering 5 out-of-state registrants? 6 A. I believe there's a policy on it. But I don't -- I 7 don't know it off the top of my head, no. 8 Q. Do you know what might be different in that policy 9 than is different in the out-of-state policy? 10 A. I do not know. 11 Q. Gotcha. 12 A. I'd have to review the policy. 13 Q. And do you know if there is a chart or cheat sheet 14 similar to the PACC code table that is used for 15 tiering federal offenses? 16 A. I do not know. 17 Q. And do you know if when tiering federal offenses a -- 18 a technician or an analyst might look under how an 19 offense is tiered under SORNA? 20 A. I'm assuming it's in policy. 21 Q. I'm going to share my screen again. Let me make it 22 bigger. Can you see that? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. Perfect. Let me scroll over. And this will be what 25 we'll mark as Exhibit E.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 88</p>

22 (Pages 85 to 88)

Selden-Manor, Jami
6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

<p>1 MARKED BY THE REPORTER: 2 DEPOSITION EXHIBIT E 3 11:18 a.m. 4 BY MS. TILLMAN: 5 Q. The Bates number is obscured here, but what I can make 6 out is -- well, MSP 0000716 through 717. Do you see 7 that? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Perfect. This appears to be a document listing 10 various Michigan offenses, tier levels, duration, 11 verification frequency and the like. Have you seen 12 this before? 13 A. I have. 14 Q. And are you familiar with this document? 15 A. Vaguely familiar. 16 Q. Gotcha. So just based on your experience with it, 17 what is it? 18 A. If you scroll to the left, it's based on MCL code, the 19 description, and then the tier level, duration, how 20 often that individual will verify. 21 Q. Gotcha. And do you know when this chart was created? 22 A. I do not. 23 Q. Do you know if it's been updated at all? 24 A. I do not. 25 Q. And I believe the bottom of the chart -- very, very,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 89</p>	<p>1 very small letters -- says that it was updated on 2 6/24/21. Do you see that? 3 A. I do. 4 Q. Does that sound right to you? 5 A. I wasn't here then. 6 Q. Fair. Do you know who created this chart, by chance? 7 A. I do not know. 8 Q. Do you know if it had to be approved by legal before 9 being used? 10 A. My assumption would be it went to legal for review, 11 but I don't know. I wasn't here then. 12 Q. Gotcha. So we kind of already talked about the fact 13 that it shows tier level, duration, verification 14 frequency for different registrable offenses in 15 Michigan, right? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. And does it include all registrable offenses in 18 Michigan? 19 A. I do not know. 20 Q. Don't know, gotcha. Do you know how it is decided 21 which offenses would be included in this chart? 22 A. I do not know. 23 Q. Do you know if this chart is used in the SOR unit? 24 A. Yes, it is. 25 Q. Do you know who -- who in the SOR unit uses it?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 90</p>
<p>1 A. All of the staff refer to it. 2 Q. All the staff. Have you ever used it? 3 A. I have not. 4 Q. Do you know how the SOR unit uses this chart? 5 A. I'm assuming it's for reference on specific MCL codes, 6 but I do not. 7 Q. Gotcha. Do you know how often SOR staff members might 8 reference this chart? 9 A. I am unsure, I do not. 10 Q. Gotcha. And do you know if the SOR -- the SOR unit 11 staff refer to this chart when making tier 12 determinations? 13 A. My assumption is yes, but I believe it -- it is -- 14 Q. Do -- 15 A. -- referred to in policy. 16 Q. Which policy would that be? 17 A. I don't know. 18 Q. Gotcha. Is that a different policy than the ones that 19 you've been referring to previously? 20 A. No. 21 Q. It might be one of the same ones? 22 A. Uh-huh. 23 Q. Can you describe what that policy might be or what it 24 might say? 25 A. I don't recall.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 91</p>	<p>1 Q. Okay. And do you know if the -- when using -- if they 2 are using this chart to make tier determinations, are 3 they doing that for instate residents? 4 A. I'm assuming so. If its MCL code is listed, those are 5 State of Michigan offenses. 6 Q. Would they ever use this chart for out-of-state 7 registrants? 8 A. I don't know. 9 Q. And what about for federal convictions? Would they 10 use this chart? 11 A. I don't know. 12 Q. Gotcha. All right. So that is that one. All right. 13 So I just want to kind of review a few of the SOR 14 operating procedures we -- 15 MR. DAMICH: Dayja, can I -- I'm sorry. 16 Can I take a break real quick before you get -- I'm -- 17 I apologize. 18 MS. TILLMAN: No worries. 19 (Off the record 11:23 a.m.) 20 (Back on the record at 11:30 a.m.) 21 BY MS. TILLMAN: 22 Q. My last batch of questions for you, I want to go 23 through a couple of the SOR unit operating procedures, 24 just to basically get a better understanding of what 25 they mean.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 92</p>

23 (Pages 89 to 92)

Selden-Manor, Jami
6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

<p>1 So I'm going to pull up the first one for 2 you now. All right. Can you see that? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. So this is what we will mark as Exhibit F. 5 MARKED BY THE REPORTER: 6 DEPOSITION EXHIBIT F 7 11:31 a.m. 8 BY MS. TILLMAN: 9 Q. This is Bates stamped 0000725 through 739. And it 10 appears to be SOR operating procedure 315 and it's 11 labelled SOR-OP-315. So have you seen this document 12 before? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Are you familiar with it? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. And just can you briefly describe what this is. 17 A. The pending review process and procedure. 18 Q. And do you know when this procedure was initially 19 written? 20 A. I do not. 21 Q. Do you know who happened to write it? 22 A. I do not. 23 Q. It shows a revision date of August 2nd, 2022. Do you 24 see that? 25 A. I do.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 93</p>	<p>1 Q. Do you know why this policy might have been revised? 2 A. I do not. 3 Q. Do you happen to know what revisions were made from 4 the last iteration? 5 A. I do not. 6 Q. Do you know who was involved in revising it? 7 A. I do not. 8 Q. And do you know who might have signed off on it? 9 A. I'm assuming the manager. 10 Q. Gotcha. Do people other than those in the SOR staff 11 unit ever get involved in decisions about revisions to 12 operating procedures? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Who might that be? 15 A. The managers within CJIC above the SOR unit manager 16 and legal. 17 Q. Gotcha. Does the Attorney General's Office ever get 18 involved in revisions or questions about changing 19 operating procedures? 20 A. If there's questions from legal. 21 Q. Are you aware of any other instances where the 22 Attorney General's Office may have been involved in 23 decisions about how data is entered into the MSOR 24 database? 25 A. I am not. I don't know.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 94</p>
<p>1 Q. Gotcha. And is this a -- going back to the exhibit -- 2 is this an operating procedure that is used or 3 referenced by the SOR unit? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. And let's just go down to page ten. This is page ten. 6 So here it has -- it's -- it talks about the committed 7 date. I'm going to read section C here, which says 8 effective March 2nd, 2021, per the Michigan Attorney 9 General's Office, if the committed date is in a date 10 range and our office is unable to obtain the exact 11 date that is associated with the conviction, use the 12 most recent committed date. 13 For example, if the dates are 01/20/2010 to 14 07/1/2020 and an exact date cannot be obtained, use 15 07/01/2020 as the committed offense date. Do you see 16 that there? 17 A. I do. 18 Q. Perfect. Do you know who wrote that? 19 A. I do not. 20 Q. Gotcha. Do you know what the purpose of using the 21 last date as the committed date was? 22 A. I do not. 23 Q. If you don't, do you know why -- or let me rephrase 24 this question. 25 Because you don't know the purpose of why</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 95</p>	<p>1 that was used as the committed date, do you know why 2 they wouldn't just use a date -- 3 MR. DAMICH: Objection, lack of foundation. 4 BY MS. TILLMAN: 5 Q. You can answer if you can. 6 A. I don't know. 7 Q. Gotcha. Do you know if the effect of this is to make 8 the committed date as late as possible? 9 A. I'm sorry, what was the question? 10 Q. Do you know if the effect of this is to make the 11 committed date as late as possible? 12 MR. DAMICH: Objection, lack of foundation. 13 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 14 BY MS. TILLMAN: 15 Q. But the committed date could affect whether someone 16 has an ex post facto claim, right? 17 MR. DAMICH: Objection, lack of foundation. 18 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat that. 19 MS. TILLMAN: I can withdraw the question. 20 BY MS. TILLMAN: 21 Q. So I want to bring your attention to page nine now. 22 So here I'm going to highlight it so you can see what 23 I'm referring to. It says if an offender commits a 24 new Michigan felony after 3/24/2021 and they have a 25 previous sex offense that they were not previously</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 96</p>

24 (Pages 93 to 96)

Selden-Manor, Jami

6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

<p>1 registered for, they must now register for that old 2 offense. 3 I., if the old offense is a tier I or tier 4 II and the fifteen or twenty-five years has ended, the 5 offender would not be required to register. A., 6 incarceration time can only be added for time spent in 7 prison/jail for the old offense and not any 8 incarceration time in between the old offense and the 9 new felony. 10 And subsection B reads if the offender is 11 being registered due to the recapture provision in the 12 SOR law, ensure the recapture box, quote/unquote, is 13 checked in the MSOR offense modal. And then finally 14 B.i. states if it is questionable as to whether the 15 recapture box is checked, follow the chain of command. 16 Do you know who wrote this? 17 A. I do not. 18 Q. Do you know who was involved in signing off on it? 19 A. I would -- 20 MR. DAMICH: Objection, lack of foundation. 21 THE WITNESS: -- assume the manager. 22 BY MS. TILLMAN: 23 Q. You can answer. 24 A. I would assume the manager. 25 Q. Does this -- do you know if this represents MSP's</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 97</p>	<p>1 official position about how the recapture provisions 2 of SOR 2021 should be interpreted? 3 MR. DAMICH: Objection, lack of foundation. 4 BY MS. TILLMAN: 5 Q. You can answer if you know. 6 A. I don't know. I wasn't here when this was written. 7 Q. So based on this policy, is it fair to say that MSP's 8 position is that if a person who has an old sex 9 offense for which that person never had to register, 10 if that person commits a new felony after that 11 3/24/2021 date, that person now has to register? 12 A. Based on this policy, that's what's stated, unless the 13 old offense is a tier I and tier II and the fifteen or 14 twenty-five years has ended. 15 Q. Gotcha. And based on this policy, is it MSP's 16 position that periods of incarceration can be deducted 17 from a fifteen- or twenty-five-year registration 18 period if for the initial offense but not for the 19 other periods of incarceration? 20 A. It states incarceration time can only be added for the 21 time spent in prison/jail for the old offense and not 22 any incarceration time in between the old offense and 23 new felony. 24 Q. Gotcha. All right. And that's it on this one. So 25 instead of un-sharing, I'm going to attempt to just</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 98</p>
<p>1 share the next document. 2 A. Okay. 3 Q. Do you see this one? 4 A. Offender duration has ended. 5 Q. Perfect. So this is what we will mark as Exhibit G. 6 It's Bates stamped 0000911 through 913. This appears 7 to be SOR operating procedure 308. It's labelled 8 SOR-OP-308. Have you seen this document before? 9 A. I have. 10 Q. Are you familiar with it? 11 A. Yes. 12 MARKED BY THE REPORTER: 13 DEPOSITION EXHIBIT G 14 11:39 a.m. 15 BY MS. TILLMAN: 16 Q. Gotcha. And based on your experience with this 17 policy, can you describe what it is. 18 A. This is the procedure that's followed when offender 19 duration has ended. 20 Q. Do you know when it was originally written? 21 A. I do not. 22 Q. Do you happen to know who wrote it? 23 A. I do not. 24 Q. And do you see the revision date for May 4th, 2022? 25 A. Yes, ma'am.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 99</p>	<p>1 Q. And do you know why it might have been revised on that 2 date? 3 A. I do not know. 4 MR. DAMICH: Objection to foundation. 5 BY MS. TILLMAN: 6 Q. You can answer if you know the answer. 7 A. I don't know. 8 Q. Do you know what revisions might have been made? 9 A. I do not know. 10 Q. And do you know who might have been involved in 11 revising it? 12 A. I don't know. 13 Q. Do you know if it's been revised since May 4th, 2022? 14 A. Not to my knowledge. 15 Q. Gotcha. And is this an operating procedure that's 16 used or referenced by the SOR unit? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Does this policy provide guidance on how to calculate 19 when a tier I or tier II registrant comes off the 20 registry after the fifteen- or twenty-five-year 21 duration? 22 A. I would have to review the policy and procedure. 23 Q. What is your understanding of what this policy 24 outlines? 25 A. I believe it's used for auditing when someone is about</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 100</p>

Selden-Manor, Jami

6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

<p>1 to end their duration.</p> <p>2 Q. And that, of course, would only apply to tier I and</p> <p>3 tier II registrants?</p> <p>4 A. If that's what it states on here.</p> <p>5 Q. And do you know the process of how time is calculated</p> <p>6 for registrants who are on the fifteen- or</p> <p>7 twenty-five-year duration?</p> <p>8 A. It's based on MCL.</p> <p>9 Q. Which MCL is that?</p> <p>10 A. I don't know off the top of my head.</p> <p>11 Q. Do you know what that MCL describes as the calculation</p> <p>12 process?</p> <p>13 MR. DAMICH: Objection, lack of foundation.</p> <p>14 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>15 Q. You can answer if you know.</p> <p>16 A. I don't know.</p> <p>17 Q. Do you happen to know when the clock starts running on</p> <p>18 registration?</p> <p>19 A. I don't know off the top of my head.</p> <p>20 Q. So just to do a brief hypo, say a person committed an</p> <p>21 offense in around 1990. They never went to prison,</p> <p>22 but they were sentenced to five years of probation and</p> <p>23 they're still on probation when SOR goes into effect</p> <p>24 in 1995.</p> <p>25 Do you know if the clock starts running in</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 101</p>	<p>1 1990? Or in 1995 when SORA goes into effect?</p> <p>2 MR. DAMICH: Objection, form, compound,</p> <p>3 confusing, ambiguous.</p> <p>4 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>5 Q. You can answer if you know.</p> <p>6 A. I don't know.</p> <p>7 Q. So if an offense is -- or if someone is convicted of</p> <p>8 an offense before the registry goes into effect, when</p> <p>9 does the clock start?</p> <p>10 A. I don't know. I wasn't here at that time.</p> <p>11 Q. Gotcha. Do you know if MSP has attempted to identify</p> <p>12 any individuals who might be in a situation where they</p> <p>13 were convicted in 1990 but were added to the registry</p> <p>14 post-1995?</p> <p>15 A. I don't know.</p> <p>16 Q. Gotcha. All right. And I'm going to do the thing</p> <p>17 again where I'm going to try to switch it to this</p> <p>18 instead of un-sharing my screen. Can you see that?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. Perfect. Perfect. And so, this is what we will mark</p> <p>21 as Exhibit H. It is Bates stamped 0001624 through</p> <p>22 1626.</p> <p>23 MARKED BY THE REPORTER:</p> <p>24 DEPOSITION EXHIBIT H</p> <p>25 11:43 a.m.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 102</p>
<p>1 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>2 Q. And this appears to be SOR operating procedure 322,</p> <p>3 with the label SOR-OP-322. Have you seen this</p> <p>4 document before?</p> <p>5 A. I have.</p> <p>6 Q. And are you familiar with it?</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. And just based on your experience with it, can you</p> <p>9 describe what it outlines.</p> <p>10 A. My understanding is it outlines those are pending</p> <p>11 arrival here in the state.</p> <p>12 Q. Gotcha. Do you know when this policy was originally</p> <p>13 written?</p> <p>14 A. I do not know.</p> <p>15 Q. Do you happen to know who wrote it?</p> <p>16 A. I do not know.</p> <p>17 MR. DAMICH: Objection, lack of foundation.</p> <p>18 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>19 Q. Do you see the revision date of July 12th, 2022, up</p> <p>20 there?</p> <p>21 A. Yes, ma'am.</p> <p>22 Q. Do you know if this policy has been revised since that</p> <p>23 date?</p> <p>24 A. Not to my knowledge.</p> <p>25 Q. And is this an operating procedure that is used or</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 103</p>	<p>1 referenced within the SOR unit?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. And is this policy related to how the unit responds</p> <p>4 once the SOR unit has been notified by another state</p> <p>5 that a non-Michigan registrant has moved to Michigan?</p> <p>6 A. Say that again.</p> <p>7 Q. For sure. So does this policy outline how the SOR</p> <p>8 unit responds once they have been notified by another</p> <p>9 state that a registrant had moved to Michigan?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. Gotcha. And can you just quickly walk us through how</p> <p>12 the SOR unit might typically respond in this</p> <p>13 situation.</p> <p>14 A. It would be following this policy. I'm not very</p> <p>15 familiar with it.</p> <p>16 Q. Gotcha. And do you know if any of the steps in that</p> <p>17 policy are different from any of the other</p> <p>18 registration policies that we've talked about?</p> <p>19 A. I do not know.</p> <p>20 Q. Gotcha. Do you know off the top of your head which</p> <p>21 policy, besides this one of course, might be relevant?</p> <p>22 A. No, I don't know.</p> <p>23 Q. Gotcha. Looking at page two, section four A three --</p> <p>24 where did it go. Here we go, in this little section</p> <p>25 here, I'm going to briefly read the relevant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 104</p>

26 (Pages 101 to 104)

Selden-Manor, Jami


6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

<p>1 provisions. So four -- subsection four states the</p> <p>2 department technician shall perform a search within</p> <p>3 ten business days of said date of arrival in MSOR to</p> <p>4 determine if the offender has checked in with</p> <p>5 Michigan.</p> <p>6 And then subsection A of four says if the</p> <p>7 department technician has determined a new offender</p> <p>8 has checked in with Michigan and has signed a</p> <p>9 registration form, all of these other provisions will</p> <p>10 obviously take place, including a request -- if</p> <p>11 requested by the notifying state it would notify the</p> <p>12 state that the offender has arrived and the</p> <p>13 notification was sent through the SORNA exchange</p> <p>14 portal, close the notification in the SORNA exchange</p> <p>15 portal.</p> <p>16 And it kind of lists how that is done. And</p> <p>17 then subsection two says if it is an exiting offender,</p> <p>18 a signed registration form is not necessary. And</p> <p>19 subsection three says the department technician does</p> <p>20 not need to perform a complete pending review on the</p> <p>21 record, only determine if the offender record should</p> <p>22 be published or not.</p> <p>23 Do you see all of that?</p> <p>24 A. I do.</p> <p>25 Q. Perfect. Do you know the difference between a</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 105</p>	<p>1 complete pending review and the one described here,</p> <p>2 where only -- where they might be only deciding on</p> <p>3 publication status?</p> <p>4 A. I don't. I don't know.</p> <p>5 Q. Are you not familiar with that process?</p> <p>6 A. No, I'm not.</p> <p>7 Q. Do you know if records under this scenario four A</p> <p>8 three ever go through a complete review?</p> <p>9 A. I don't know.</p> <p>10 Q. Do you happen to know if there's any followup on that</p> <p>11 record?</p> <p>12 A. I do not know.</p> <p>13 Q. Gotcha. All right. I will stop sharing my screen.</p> <p>14 MS. TILLMAN: That is all I've got right</p> <p>15 now, but if you give me about five minutes or so just</p> <p>16 to like go through my notes, make sure I don't have</p> <p>17 any other questions. Is that okay?</p> <p>18 MR. DAMICH: Yeah, absolutely.</p> <p>19 (Off the record 11:48 a.m.)</p> <p>20 (Back on the record at 11:55 a.m.)</p> <p>21 MS. TILLMAN: I have just got literally</p> <p>22 like maybe one or two more questions for you.</p> <p>23 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>24 Q. So earlier we kind of like walked through the instate</p> <p>25 registration process for registrable offenses in</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 106</p>
<p>1 Michigan. And so, I want to kind of go back and ask</p> <p>2 once MSP -- or once MSP completes a record and a</p> <p>3 determination is made that an individual who's instate</p> <p>4 must register for, you know, fifteen, twenty-five, or</p> <p>5 lifetime, how is that then communicated to a</p> <p>6 registrant, if you know?</p> <p>7 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>8 Q. Don't recall, gotcha. Do you know if the tier letters</p> <p>9 that we looked at earlier might be sent out to them?</p> <p>10 Or if something similar might be sent out to them?</p> <p>11 MR. DAMICH: Objection, lack of foundation.</p> <p>12 BY MS. TILLMAN:</p> <p>13 Q. You can answer if you know.</p> <p>14 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>15 Q. You don't recall, gotcha. Do you recall any method at</p> <p>16 all of the SOR unit or MSP communicating to</p> <p>17 registrants what their registration obligations might</p> <p>18 be?</p> <p>19 A. I believe there's a letter out there. But I don't --</p> <p>20 I don't recall exactly what it is.</p> <p>21 MS. TILLMAN: All right. Then that is all</p> <p>22 of my questions. So, Scott, unless you have some</p> <p>23 questions.</p> <p>24 MR. DAMICH: You know what, I don't</p> <p>25 actually.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 107</p>	<p>1 MS. TILLMAN: Great. So I actually want to</p> <p>2 make one statement for the record and then I will be</p> <p>3 all done, I promise.</p> <p>4 MR. DAMICH: Okay.</p> <p>5 MS. TILLMAN: So I want to state for the</p> <p>6 record that, you know, defendants had an obligation to</p> <p>7 provide a witness who, you know, in a 30(b)(6)</p> <p>8 deposition who can testify to all the topics related</p> <p>9 -- relayed in the Notice of deposition.</p> <p>10 Of course, our witness today was able to</p> <p>11 respond to many questions, but we do not necessarily</p> <p>12 feel that the defense adequately complied with that</p> <p>13 obligation because the witness was not able to respond</p> <p>14 to many questions about the policies and procedures.</p> <p>15 So I want to state that for the record.</p> <p>16 MR. DAMICH: Noted.</p> <p>17 MS. TILLMAN: Other than that, I think that</p> <p>18 I'm all good on my side. I do really want to thank</p> <p>19 you for your time today. I'm sure it's never fun to</p> <p>20 sit in these things for three-plus hours.</p> <p>21 But I do really appreciate you taking the</p> <p>22 time to talk to us through kind of many of the things</p> <p>23 that we're not really aware of that's gone on inside</p> <p>24 of the SOR unit. So it was very helpful. So I really</p> <p>25 appreciate it.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 108</p>

Selden-Manor, Jami
6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

<p>1 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 2 (The deposition was concluded at 11:58 a.m. 3 Signature of the witness was not requested by counsel 4 for the respective parties hereto.) 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25</p> <p>Page 109</p>	<p>1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 STATE OF MICHIGAN 4 COUNTY OF MACOMB 5 I, Heather DeMar, RPR, CSR, and Notary 6 Public in and for the above county and state, do 7 hereby certify that this deposition was taken before 8 me at the time and place hereinbefore set forth via 9 videoconference; that the witness was by me first duly 10 sworn to testify to the truth; that this is a true, 11 full and correct transcript of my stenographic notes 12 so taken; and that I am not related, nor of counsel to 13 either party, nor interested in the event of this 14 cause. I agree that I nor any person, attorney, 15 paralegal, or expert witness may make, copy, and/or 16 distribute to others for future sales, monetary gain, 17 or any other purpose, a transcript and/or video 18 without paying Tri-County Court Reporters the ordinary 19 and customary charges for any and all additional 20 transcripts. Transcript completed 6/17/2023 21  22 _____ 23 Heather DeMar, RPR, CSR - 8951 24 Notary Public. 25 Macomb County, Michigan My Commission Expires: 12/18/2027</p> <p>Page 110</p>
--	---

28 (Pages 109 to 110)

Selden-Manor, Jami
6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

Page 111

A	adds 66:4	23:8 38:17	appears 43:8	72:13
a.m 1:25 2:4 5:3	adequately	39:5,14,18	53:6 75:5	assistant 16:24
7:21,22 12:2,3	108:12	40:1,12,16	84:22 85:13	86:20,23
43:14 53:12	administration	42:4,12 46:2	89:9 93:10	Associate's
76:12,13 80:13	15:5,6	72:15,16 73:17	99:6 103:2	14:17
85:3 89:3	administrative	74:10,12,13,14	applies 46:24	associated 26:7
92:19,20 93:7	29:23	74:15 75:9	apply 101:2	95:11
99:14 102:25	adult 78:15,25	81:8 82:4,8,11	appreciate	assume 7:13
106:19,20	80:19	82:16 83:1,1	108:21,25	8:18,21 20:1
109:2	advisor 49:23	88:18	approved 21:1,6	57:4,6 59:4,16
ability 10:13	affect 41:9 96:15	analyst's 62:1	22:9 44:16,17	60:13 74:21,24
able 23:13,17	age 46:25 60:5,7	analysts 19:3	60:24 61:6,13	81:21 86:15
26:21 27:2	60:12,13,17,21	20:10,13,21	90:8	97:21,24
30:3 49:14	67:10,13,15,17	21:21 23:21	approximately	assuming 7:15
51:23 64:24	67:25 74:19	24:12 66:5	16:12,19 23:10	59:2 60:7
67:24 75:6	75:3,10 78:2,8	analyzed 32:22	April 44:2,11	61:10 74:3
108:10,13	79:1	and/or 110:15	Arizona 64:8,11	85:16 88:20
absolutely	agencies 15:14	110:17	Arkansas 71:6,7	91:5 92:4 94:9
106:18	37:3	answer 6:20 7:8	71:12,24 72:8	assumption 63:8
access 28:23	agency 16:9	8:17,20 9:1,2	72:17 73:4,8	79:11 90:10
29:23	38:1,8,9,24	9:15 10:13	Arkansas' 73:13	91:13
accounting	40:7	25:2 26:18,25	arrival 103:11	attached 4:12
16:24	agent 35:9,13	27:11,20,20	105:3	attempt 43:4
accuracy 38:16	47:14	28:11 29:7,8	arrived 105:12	52:22 98:25
39:8 45:12	ago 15:16	30:11 31:11,22	arriving 24:13	attempted
84:3	agree 110:14	32:15 33:3,10	aside 11:16 12:8	102:11
accurate 45:24	AIMEE 3:21	36:9,17,23	14:13 18:10	attending 14:7
54:16	AK 71:6	37:10 42:17	asked 8:25 20:4	attention 96:21
accurately 47:18	Alabama 74:16	44:9,9 50:16	42:14	attorney 3:13
75:4	74:17 75:17	52:11 64:14	asking 12:6	5:12 64:3,9
acronym 58:8	Alan 21:8	65:4 78:6,17	14:25 23:25	94:17,22 95:8
acronyms 58:15	allow 27:4,15	79:14 96:5	29:17 65:17	110:14
activities 32:11	30:14,17	97:23 98:5	asks 35:2	attorneys 12:12
activity 79:5	allows 31:25	100:6,6 101:15	assault 64:11	12:17,21 13:5
added 55:17,22	ambiguous	102:5 107:13	74:17	audit 85:13,14
61:24 65:20,24	26:23 27:9	answered 20:1,4	assign 40:12,16	85:18,19
66:2 97:6	30:15 37:18	42:14	66:9,21 68:4	auditing 100:25
98:20 102:13	78:16 102:3	anyway 79:23	72:10,20 74:5	August 93:23
additional 59:21	amended 83:10	apologies 12:24	75:11 78:12,23	AUKERMAN
62:1 74:5,7	83:12	apologize 92:17	assigned 38:17	3:4
110:19	amending 25:7	APPEARAN...	39:4 40:20	authority 34:5
address 26:21	American 3:5	3:1	59:9 66:14	34:10
27:4 31:7	analysis 33:6	Appearing 3:9	69:13 73:21	auto-populate
38:11	analyst 19:15	3:17	assignments	65:15

Selden-Manor, Jami
6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

Page 112

automatic 72:12	37:11 39:22	bit 13:15 15:21	21:21	79:15,18,20
automatically	40:18 42:23	25:16 33:13	case 1:10 6:9,13	80:20,23,25
41:4 65:7 66:9	47:25 53:15	57:19 84:13	6:25 11:18,22	81:3,7,15,18
66:9,13,20	66:11,21 67:14	blank 58:19	18:19,23 39:21	84:7 88:13
69:13 70:5,12	70:3,13,14,15	59:18 60:2,9	39:25 40:18	89:21,25 90:6
70:18 72:9	70:18 73:20	60:15 63:10	51:21 52:17	90:21,23 91:4
73:19,24 75:1	74:18 76:4	64:16 67:2,5	53:2 68:15	91:8,11 92:2,6
76:5 77:2	79:10,15 85:10	68:25 69:11	70:9	92:10
78:12,23 79:16	85:17 89:16,18	81:18	cases 46:4 61:6	charts 63:23
available 13:11	98:7,12,15	bottom 55:11	61:12 68:9,11	cheat 22:20,22
avenues 48:18	99:16 101:8	56:13 82:1	68:12	53:15 63:23
average 83:4	103:8	89:25	category 62:2	88:13
aware 24:3	basic 6:12 14:12	box 97:12,15	cause 110:14	check 26:15,21
37:16 83:9,12	basically 33:24	breadth 70:3	certain 32:10	27:2 28:7,14
83:18 87:19	92:24	break 9:12,16	78:1	28:18 29:2
94:21 108:23	basics 14:12	45:19 76:9	CERTIFICATE	30:8,25 31:8
Awesome 10:21	33:25	92:16	110:1	31:19 39:16
36:3	basis 32:10	breakdown	certifications	checked 97:13
B	batch 92:22	49:15,18	15:9	97:15 105:4,8
B 1:5 4:15 53:5	Bates 43:8 53:5	brief 101:20	certify 110:7	checks 25:19,23
53:11 58:2	80:8 84:20	briefly 11:21	chain 97:15	26:8 30:5 32:4
97:10	89:5 93:9 99:6	43:18 45:9	chance 90:6	circumstances
B.i 97:14	102:21	53:14 76:15	changed 19:20	61:18 66:8,12
Bachelor's 14:17	bear 7:17	80:17 84:18	24:21 25:1	68:13 69:24
15:1,4,7	behalf 1:7 3:9,17	93:16 104:25	57:12	79:21
back 7:22,23	5:20	BRIMACOM...	changes 25:14	citation 58:3
12:3,4 62:3	believe 24:2 26:6	3:21	44:6	civil 3:5 6:9
76:13 92:20	31:17 46:5	bring 96:21	changing 94:18	CJIC 86:23
95:1 106:20	60:20 61:22	broke 82:21	charge 36:12	94:15
107:1	64:16,22,23	business 15:5,6	charges 110:19	claim 96:16
background	65:9 67:11	16:4 105:3	chart 53:7,8,17	clarification
13:16 25:19,23	68:2,5 72:15	C	54:2,7,16,21	8:13
26:8,15,21	83:21 88:6	C 1:5 4:16 80:7	57:7,23 63:12	clarify 8:14 9:20
27:7 28:7,14	89:25 91:13	80:12 95:7	63:17 64:18,19	clarifying 47:15
28:17 29:2	100:25 107:19	calculate 100:18	65:1,6,12,20	clarity 36:3
30:5,8,25 31:8	best 6:20 7:7,25	calculated 101:5	65:23,24 66:2	classes 14:12
31:18 32:3	8:10,15	calculation	66:18,22 67:6	classification
33:17	better 34:16,17	101:11	67:6 68:20,24	52:20 75:3
bad 7:17	51:18 53:3	called 5:6 47:12	69:3 70:3,5,18	81:10
bandwidth 9:6	57:19,22 62:7	59:5	70:23 71:3,5	classifications
bar 32:17	92:24	calls 87:15	71:17 72:4	67:9 83:20
based 21:17	beyond 15:7	capacities 1:17	73:20 76:4,16	84:3
30:4,7,17	bigger 88:22	carrying 21:19	76:19 77:8	classified 51:22
	birth 38:12 46:1		78:8,21 79:10	52:14 56:5,10

Selden-Manor, Jami
6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

Page 113

57:9,16 65:19 65:22 83:24 classify 87:20 classifying 47:19 88:2 Cleary 14:17 client 64:4,10 clock 101:17,25 102:9 close 105:14 closed 57:1 code 22:24 41:4 51:13 53:15,17 55:16 60:14 62:4,8 63:7,10 63:21 64:8 65:20,24 66:11 66:19 68:15,22 68:23,24 69:3 69:7 70:5,15 70:18,23 71:17 71:18 72:20 73:7 75:20 76:22 77:15 82:3,3 88:14 89:18 92:4 coded 59:15 62:13 66:22 codes 53:25 78:21 91:5 COL 1:14 College 14:3,5 14:14 column 57:24 58:2,5,7,21 59:5,11,23 60:4,4,8,11,24 61:5 62:3,5,16 62:16,19 63:10 columns 57:21 58:13 59:12 81:17,18 come 42:25 48:21 79:25	81:23 comes 38:1,6 42:8,19 100:19 coming 9:5 66:1 81:1,2 command 97:15 Commencing 1:25 2:4 Commission 110:25 commits 96:23 98:10 committed 35:15 47:22 48:2,7 95:6,9 95:12,15,21 96:1,8,11,15 101:20 communicated 107:5 communicating 107:16 Community 14:3,4,14 company 16:3 comparable 53:25 62:4,9 62:21 63:7,9 63:13,20 68:16 71:18 72:24 73:8 75:20 77:15,18 compared 45:24 complete 39:11 39:19 46:8,14 105:20 106:1,8 completed 14:17 45:13 110:20 completeness 45:12 completes 107:2 compliant 29:13 29:19 30:7 complied 108:12	comply 20:21 compound 27:9 33:1 102:2 computer 8:9 15:14 concluded 109:2 conclusion 35:3 condition 10:13 conducting 5:13 confer 65:13 79:22 confirm 65:9 80:2 81:13 82:8 confusing 27:9 29:16 32:25 78:17 102:3 connection 7:11 11:23 consensual 78:1 consent 79:7 consider 73:1 considered 75:15 consult 24:23 64:17 74:15 82:4,10 consulted 83:2 consulting 24:17 contact 64:9 66:1 contacts 64:3 CONTENTS 4:1 continue 48:16 convicted 32:23 34:2,24 41:3 51:21 64:4,10 102:7,13 convicting 50:12 54:14 57:25 75:7 conviction 39:23 46:21 50:2	58:3 63:14 70:7 71:7 72:8 74:23 75:14 77:3 78:9,13 78:24 82:15 87:21,25 88:3 95:11 convictions 32:18 33:15 47:2 82:25 92:9 copy 22:20 110:15 correct 21:20 24:4 29:13 31:16 32:1 34:25 48:4 57:10,11,25 63:7 72:5,25 75:21,24 76:5 77:17,24 78:3 78:10,15 79:1 79:8 90:16 110:11 Corrections 35:20 correctly 10:17 correspond 59:11 counsel 8:24 109:3 110:12 counting 56:14 56:21 county 110:4,6 110:24 couple 18:17 49:1 51:17 71:3 92:23 course 9:25 10:5 101:2 104:21 108:10 court 1:1 6:18 7:5,25 8:6 41:5 80:1 110:18	COVID 14:20 created 36:19 45:3 54:24 55:1,3,6 83:25 89:21 90:6 creating 36:5,13 crime 82:2 criminal 15:13 28:22 45:25 47:11 CRR 58:7 CSC 41:3 CSR 110:5,22 CSR-8951 2:6 current 17:15,23 87:7,8 currently 17:17 17:17 18:21 24:22,25 29:18 31:4,15 87:24 cursor 55:8 Curtis 1:12 customary 110:19 cut 14:1,24 15:1 cutting 6:22,24 11:19 12:14
D				
D 1:5 4:17 84:20 85:2 daily 22:4 DAMICH 3:12 7:19 20:4 24:24 25:5 26:16,23 27:8 27:18 28:9 29:4 30:9,15 31:9,20 32:6 32:12,25 33:8 35:2 36:7,15 36:21 37:8,18 38:22 39:2 40:5,10 42:14				

Selden-Manor, Jami
6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

Page 114

44:7 50:5,14 52:5,8 65:2 71:13 72:2 76:8 77:5,11 77:13,21 78:4 78:16 79:2,12 92:15 96:3,12 96:17 97:20 98:3 100:4 101:13 102:2 103:17 106:18 107:11,24 108:4,16 data 94:23 database 19:10 19:14 23:4 36:6,14 40:25 41:3,22 64:21 64:25 65:8,15 66:7,8,20,20 67:5 68:1,23 69:3,5 70:5,12 72:9,12 75:11 75:11 77:2 78:12,23 79:21 94:24 date 38:11 44:1 46:1 56:24,25 57:5 83:15 86:13 93:23 95:7,9,9,11,12 95:14,15,21,21 96:1,2,8,11,15 98:11 99:24 100:2 103:19 103:23 105:3 dated 86:7,8 dates 86:11 95:13 Dayja 3:3 5:12 76:8 92:15 days 105:3 decide 37:4 decided 73:25	90:20 decides 39:23 deciding 38:20 106:2 decision 70:10 73:14 82:14 decision-maki... 38:24 40:6 decisions 83:3 94:11,23 deducted 98:16 defendants 1:18 3:17 108:6 defense 108:12 degree 14:23 15:1,4,7 71:9 72:9,18 73:5 74:18 delve 24:25 40:6 delving 38:23 DeMar 2:6 110:5,22 department 3:13 17:3 25:22 35:20,24 85:14 105:2,7 105:19 departmental 17:5,23 18:3 depend 67:9 depending 39:21 depends 67:12 deposed 13:10 deposition 1:22 2:1 4:14,15,16 4:17,18,19,20 4:21 5:13,18 5:19,22 6:4,13 6:16 8:24 9:11 9:11,25 10:5 10:25 12:8,13 12:18 13:2,5 43:13 53:11 80:12 85:2	89:2 93:6 99:13 102:24 108:8,9 109:2 110:7 describe 22:3 43:18 54:16 80:17 91:23 93:16 99:17 103:9 described 49:8,8 106:1 describes 101:11 description 28:4 53:16 89:19 descriptions 22:5 designated 5:19 designee 35:16 determination 16:23 41:13 48:17,21 51:1 65:13 70:25 73:15 81:20 84:7 107:3 determinations 51:6 82:24 84:9 91:12 92:2 determine 50:1 60:17,21 65:7 67:17,24 73:11 79:22 81:3 84:3 105:4,21 determined 46:25 52:2 54:18 74:19 105:7 determining 49:25 50:11,19 DeWitt 1:24 2:3 5:1 9:22 DHHS 16:21 difference 78:3 105:25	different 7:11 20:15,17 24:3 41:6,8 47:11 49:7 55:24 58:10,25 59:11 59:12 64:18,25 67:14 74:12 80:1,5 85:9,21 88:4,8,9 90:14 91:18 104:17 differently 29:22 direct 21:7 directly 19:15 42:5 director 1:15 86:20,23 disability 16:23 disappeared 16:14 discovery 18:19 18:22 discussed 11:21 discusses 45:3 displayed 45:15 distribute 110:16 DISTRICT 1:1 1:2 division 1:3 25:22 35:24 86:20,23 DOC 35:21 document 43:16 43:21 80:15 89:9,14 93:11 99:1,8 103:4 documents 11:18,22 12:7 84:24 Doe 1:6 51:21 doing 9:20 21:4 25:19,23 36:20 37:3 62:18,25 74:11 92:3	double-checked 70:21,22 doubt 80:2 draft 24:23 drafting 24:16 87:4 driver's 38:12 due 97:11 duly 5:8 110:9 duration 47:4 47:19 48:17,21 65:8 66:10,13 66:21 70:25 89:10,19 90:13 99:4,19 100:21 101:1,7 duties 17:16 20:18,20 22:5 23:15 86:25 <hr/> E E 1:5 4:18 58:13 88:25 89:2 earlier 51:14 63:6 106:24 107:9 easier 8:7 21:2 EASTERN 1:2 education 13:16 13:23 14:13,16 15:7 effect 83:19 96:7 96:10 101:23 102:1,8 effective 95:8 efforts 46:23 eighteen 61:5 either 110:13 elaborate 28:1 emails 23:25 employees 19:2 20:8,9 22:2 25:24 empty 78:10
---	--	--	---	---

Selden-Manor, Jami
6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

Page 115

ended 97:4 98:14 99:4,19 enforcement 25:22 ensure 20:24,25 21:4 45:12 65:9 97:12 ensuring 20:21 enter 38:9 65:14 67:4,25 entered 19:20 29:25 34:6,9 38:8 46:12 47:14 66:19 74:24 79:16 94:23 entering 68:22 69:2 enters 38:1 66:17 68:19,21 72:7 74:22 entire 52:17 entirely 55:13 entities 35:5,25 36:4 entity 36:12 entry 61:16 event 110:13 everybody 7:14 10:9 ex 96:16 exact 83:14 95:10,14 exactly 30:22 107:20 EXAMINATI... 4:6 10:23 examined 5:10 example 32:17 41:11 51:20 59:14 64:5 72:16 76:18 95:13 examples 51:17	71:3 exchange 105:13 105:14 excluded 63:17 excluding 32:10 excuse 60:5 68:25 87:14 exhaust 48:18 exhausted 46:23 exhibit 4:11,14 4:15,16,17,18 4:19,20,21 43:4,7,13 53:5 53:11 80:7,12 84:20 85:2 88:25 89:2 93:4,6 95:1 99:5,13 102:21 102:24 Exhibits 4:9,12 exiting 105:17 experience 25:17,19,21 26:20 30:5 48:20 89:16 99:16 103:8 expert 110:15 Expires 110:25 explain 5:17 29:24 53:14 85:11 explains 64:6 explore 71:2 exposure 71:8 72:8,17 73:5	25:3 38:25 55:19 57:4 79:11 90:6 98:7 familiar 25:7,25 28:13,16,22 33:13 34:11 43:16 49:3 66:25 80:15 82:17 83:2 85:5 89:14,15 93:14 99:10 103:6 104:15 106:5 far 44:15 52:23 61:23 66:1 faster 56:14 February 86:8 federal 87:20,25 88:3,15,17 92:9 fee 26:4 feel 9:13 108:12 fees 26:7 felony 96:24 97:9 98:10,23 FIA 16:21 field 58:11,25 61:25 68:2,3 68:19,25 69:5 69:10 fields 58:18 fifteen 97:4 98:13 107:4 fifteen- 98:17 100:20 101:6 fifty-nine 57:8 fifty-seven 63:5 fifty-two 56:20 figure 51:23 52:13,15 81:9 figured 53:2 80:18 filed 52:20	filling 39:8 final 25:14 44:15 44:16,17 finalize 39:22 finalized 36:18 40:21 46:11 finalizing 24:15 finally 9:4,10 13:12 97:13 find 27:5,16 29:12 30:6 67:20,23 fine 11:24 76:11 finish 13:16 14:19 40:2 first 5:8 6:17 7:3 7:3,24 9:16 17:25 21:8 55:16,24 56:9 57:21 60:22 62:3 71:8 72:9 74:18 83:9,12 86:7 93:1 110:9 fit 62:2 five 43:2 101:22 106:15 five- 76:9 flowchart 81:9 81:14,19 82:1 flowcharts 81:24 follow 17:12 36:24,25 69:16 97:15 followed 68:8 99:18 following 20:25 46:24 104:14 follows 5:10 38:3 followup 106:10 forget 22:24 form 27:8 32:13 52:5,8 53:5	78:4,16 79:12 102:2 105:9,18 forth 110:8 forty-one 62:20 62:20 forty-three 63:6 forty-two 62:19 62:20,21 63:6 found 54:3,8 56:17 foundation 26:16 28:9 29:4 30:9 31:9 31:20 32:6,12 33:1,8 36:7,21 37:8 44:7 50:5 65:2 71:13 72:2 77:5,13 77:21 78:17 96:3,12,17 97:20 98:3 100:4 101:13 103:17 107:11 four 16:12 18:6 55:11 85:9,21 104:23 105:1,1 105:6 106:7 four-year 78:2 free 9:13 freeze 9:7 freezing 7:10,16 frequency 89:11 90:14 front 9:24 10:4 11:9 15:15 full 70:3 110:11 fun 108:19 function 32:5 further 13:23 40:8 47:15 70:9,19,24 74:14,14 79:24 future 110:16
---	---	---	--	--

Selden-Manor, Jami

6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

Page 116

G	74:13 101:23	44:17,21 46:3	104:23 106:13	head 6:19 7:6
G 1:5 4:20 99:5	102:1,8	46:3,8,13,16	107:8,15	8:1 22:12
99:13	going 6:12 8:10	47:17,21 48:6	Governor 1:13	34:16 49:20
gain 110:16	13:11 25:16	48:15,19,24	governs 34:11	77:19 88:7
GASPER 1:15	33:12 38:22	49:14,20 51:1	graduate 13:21	101:10,19
gears 25:16	43:3,4 45:9	51:5,16 52:9	14:4,6	104:20
33:12 48:25	46:17 48:24,25	52:13,19,22	graduated 13:20	header 56:15,21
84:12	52:22 61:11	53:17,24 54:12	Grand 3:7	hear 7:8 16:15
general 3:13	62:3 76:15	54:16,23 55:3	Great 108:1	29:7
33:17 49:14,18	79:17 80:4	55:23,23 56:2	GRETCHEN	heard 15:2 52:6
General's 94:17	83:7 84:12	56:8,12 57:7	1:13	64:23
94:22 95:9	88:21 93:1	57:15,18 58:10	ground 7:24 8:5	Heather 2:6
generally 11:11	95:1,7 96:22	58:13,21 59:14	guidance 22:16	110:5,22
48:16 51:5	98:25 102:16	59:17,23 60:4	23:18 35:5	help 81:3,19
67:21 70:23	102:17 104:25	60:8,11,21,24	44:23 47:21	helpful 6:15
geographical	Goldsmith 1:11	61:4,11 62:10	100:18	37:20 47:18
26:22 27:4	good 5:11,15,16	63:12,19 64:1	guilty 34:3	108:24
Georgia 76:21	52:23 83:8	64:18,23 65:11	gym 32:18	helping 24:23
77:3,10 78:13	108:18	65:18,18 66:4		hereinbefore
78:22,24	gotcha 10:7	66:6,24 67:9	H	110:8
gestures 6:19	11:13 12:11,20	67:24 68:6,12	H 1:6 4:21	hereto 109:4
7:6 8:1	13:1,4,12,15	68:18 69:13,22	102:21,24	high 13:16,19,25
give 15:15 22:9	15:21 16:25	69:24 70:17,22	half 6:23 10:1	14:2,13 15:22
33:21 34:18	17:19 18:5,8	71:2 72:12	handled 72:13	15:25
43:5 84:14	18:15,21,25	73:10,19 74:2	handles 35:21	highlight 96:22
106:15	19:4,18 20:1	74:16 75:2,10	35:25	history 15:22
go 6:12 7:11,12	20:20 21:7,23	75:14,19 76:3	Hands-on 23:8	27:6 28:23
10:18 13:19,25	22:11,14,22	76:7 79:17,25	happen 15:19	45:25 47:11
14:2 16:8,13	23:1,6,11,23	80:4 81:6,12	54:23 55:1,3	Hon 1:11
17:9 19:16	24:2,21 25:16	81:17 82:1,23	57:15 66:12	hopefully 8:11
22:7 36:19	26:7,10,14,14	83:6,16 84:9	68:6 73:4	52:24
40:8 41:25	27:22 29:2,11	84:12 85:9,21	74:23 94:3	hotspot 7:12 9:7
42:5 51:16	29:24 30:2,4	85:24 86:7,13	99:22 101:17	hours 23:10
56:3 57:1	30:13 31:3,24	86:16 87:3,10	103:15 106:10	108:20
61:24 67:20	32:9,21 33:12	87:18 88:2,11	happened 93:21	hundred 56:16
74:12,16 75:9	33:23 34:7,15	89:16,21 90:12	happens 8:14	57:8 63:5
76:18 79:18	36:11 37:3,12	90:20 91:7,10	34:3,7 38:14	hypo 101:20
81:8 92:22	37:15 38:6,14	91:18 92:12	39:17 42:20	
95:5 104:24,24	38:14,20 39:13	94:10,17 95:1	46:8 67:4,12	I
106:8,16 107:1	39:15,17,25,25	95:20 96:7	68:18 69:5,10	ID 38:12
goes 34:1 38:21	40:20,23 41:2	98:15,24 99:16	75:8	idea 63:18 87:6
39:14 40:18	41:8,17,20,24	100:15 102:11	happy 53:6	identify 102:11
42:20 45:19,21	42:8,11 43:3	102:16 103:12	Hasselbring-C...	II 47:1,3,23 48:3
45:23 46:2	43:23 44:1,11	104:11,16,20	16:10	48:8 75:12

Selden-Manor, Jami

6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

Page 117

97:4 98:13 100:19 101:3 III 41:4 47:22 48:3 51:22,25 52:14 76:22 77:3 78:13,22 78:24 79:7 immediately 15:25 impact 10:13 32:23 33:6,6 implying 79:15 important 8:5 imposes 50:12 in-depth 49:11 inbox 38:2,4,7 38:15 incarceration 97:6,8 98:16 98:19,20,22 include 20:20 90:17 included 90:21 includes 29:12 57:4 63:12 including 105:10 incorporates 86:24 indecent 71:8 72:8,17 73:5 INDEX 4:9 indicating 12:16 individual 30:1 34:7,24 35:8 35:15 36:5 37:5 46:1 47:13 71:11 73:12 89:20 107:3 individual's 35:9,12 45:14 individuals 44:24 49:4	51:19 102:12 influence 10:12 information 27:7,16,24 28:2,14,17 29:11 30:18 34:5,9 37:25 38:1,6,11,16 39:8,9 40:2,4,6 45:4,7,14,24 46:9,10,11,18 46:20,22 47:8 47:15,16,17 48:1,13,16,20 51:11 57:4,20 59:21 62:15 63:16,20 65:6 65:14 66:21 67:19,20,23 70:24 71:4 73:10,11 74:5 74:7,10 75:3 75:10 82:12 informing 84:23 inhouse 49:23 initial 35:6 37:15,23 41:15 44:23 74:11 98:18 initially 83:18 83:19 93:18 inputs 62:15 inputted 75:10 inputting 66:6 inside 108:23 instances 74:4 94:21 instate 33:14 46:4 49:7 92:3 106:24 107:3 instructs 8:25 integrated 64:20 intend 9:11 interested	110:13 interfaced 64:20 internal 11:10 21:19 38:23 internally 44:4 Internet 28:22 interpreted 98:2 interrupt 86:5 involved 18:18 18:22 24:9,14 24:15,16,22 25:12 43:23 69:19,22 79:5 82:13,24 84:6 87:4 94:6,11 94:18,22 97:18 100:10 issues 19:16 iteration 94:4 Ivy 1:12 J jail 35:15 JAMI 1:22 2:1 4:4 5:5 January 86:7 Jegla 82:22 84:8 job 17:16,25 20:15,18 21:5 23:11 31:15 JOHN 1:5 JOSEPH 1:15 Jr 1:12 judge 41:10 July 56:5,10 103:19 jump 5:16 June 2:5 5:2 Junior 13:20 jurisdiction 35:19,23 50:2 57:25 justice 15:13 juvenile 35:23	39:21 40:18 80:19 K keep 8:8 51:10 keeps 51:5 63:19 kicked 10:10 kind 5:17 11:11 11:17,20 21:17 22:18,22 23:1 23:6,14,17,18 25:17 33:25 34:15 41:12,17 47:17 57:18,23 60:11 61:24 63:23 64:18 65:12 66:1 70:2,2 79:25 81:19 90:12 92:13 105:16 106:24 107:1 108:22 knew 14:20 know 5:18 6:14 6:14 9:8,10 18:15,21,24 19:18,25 20:1 21:15 22:11,13 24:6,9,21 26:4 26:7,9,10,12 26:14,18,19 27:20 28:11,20 28:21,25 29:1 29:3,5,10,11 30:4,6,11,12 30:13,25 31:2 31:3,8,11,18 31:22,23 32:3 32:7,9,15,16 32:20,21,21 33:3,4,5,10,11 34:15 36:9,17 36:19,25 37:2 37:3,15,23	43:20,23 44:4 44:5,6,9,10,11 44:15 46:6,7 46:15 47:7 48:23 49:13,20 49:22 50:16,18 50:25 51:5 52:4,12,19,21 52:22 53:20,22 53:23,24 54:15 54:21,22,23,25 55:1,2,3,4,5,7 55:23 56:1,2,2 56:4,7,8,8,11 56:12 57:12,14 57:15,17 58:7 58:10,15,18,22 58:25 59:5,10 59:19,20,22,24 60:1,2,3,5,8,10 60:12,15,16,17 60:23,25 61:9 61:17 62:4,15 63:9,12,15,16 63:16,19,22,23 64:12,19 65:4 65:5,6,19,23 66:3,4,7,15,23 67:1,7,9,12,16 68:9,18 69:5,6 69:7,9,10,12 69:18 70:8 71:9,15,16,23 72:11 73:1,9 73:13,14,20,22 73:24 74:1,24 74:25 75:13,14 75:18,19,25 76:2,6 77:1,4,7 77:7,12,18 78:6,7 79:3,9 80:22 81:7,23 82:7,13 83:3,5 83:6 84:1,2,5,6
---	---	--	---	---

Selden-Manor, Jami
6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

Page 118

85:10,15,17,18 85:21,24 86:4 86:6,11,21 87:3,7,9,10,12 87:17,18,22,23 88:1,2,7,8,10 88:13,16,17 89:21,23 90:6 90:7,8,11,19 90:20,20,22,23 90:25 91:4,7 91:10,17 92:1 92:8,11 93:18 93:21 94:1,3,6 94:8,25 95:18 95:20,23,25 96:1,6,7,10,13 97:16,18,25 98:5,6 99:20 99:22 100:1,3 100:6,7,8,9,10 100:12,13 101:5,10,11,15 101:16,17,19 101:25 102:5,6 102:10,11,15 103:12,14,15 103:16,22 104:16,19,20 104:22 105:25 106:4,7,9,10 106:12 107:4,6 107:8,13,24 108:6,7 knowledge 5:25 19:24 27:23 28:8 48:15,19 100:14 103:24	lack 26:16 28:9 29:4 30:9 31:9 31:20 32:6,12 33:1,8 36:7,21 37:8 44:7 50:5 65:2 71:13 72:2 77:5,13 77:21 78:17 96:3,12,17 97:20 98:3 101:13 103:17 107:11 Lansing 3:15 13:20 14:3,4 14:14 late 96:8,11 law 22:20 25:22 71:12 73:7,8 83:12 97:12 laws 22:21 lawsuit 52:20 lays 34:23 learn 23:12,13 left 59:17 60:2 62:13 63:2 89:18 legal 35:2 49:23 52:18 60:24 61:3,4,6,10,13 64:17 67:7 68:9,13,17 69:25 73:9,17 79:22 80:3 81:25 82:13,18 90:8,10 94:16 94:20 lesser 41:10 let's 13:15 55:14 64:4,5 75:6 79:19 95:5 letter 85:14 86:8 107:19 letters 58:25 84:22 85:9,11	85:15,22,25 86:7,14 87:5,7 87:8,10,16 90:1 107:8 letting 9:10 level 37:4 40:13 40:17,20,23 53:16 54:1 59:5,7,8 60:18 65:7 67:2,5 70:4,22 72:13 72:21 74:19 89:19 90:13 levels 64:25 69:13 89:10 Liberties 3:5 Liberty 16:3 library 16:16 32:18 license 38:12 licenses 15:19 Lieutenant 21:8 lifetime 78:14 107:5 line 78:9 links 22:20 list 15:15 22:9 33:21 41:5 71:17,18 72:4 78:8 79:7 listed 6:1 35:8 35:15 56:5 58:21 62:22 66:18 67:1 70:4,23 71:3,9 75:15,21 76:4 77:8,15 81:6 82:3 92:4 listing 89:9 lists 45:7 105:16 literally 106:21 litigation 5:21 little 13:15 15:21 25:16 29:15	33:13 56:14 84:13 104:24 living 31:5 locate 46:21 located 9:21 locates 47:7 location 26:13 26:22 27:4 30:17,19 long 9:12 12:23 14:7 15:16 16:6,11,18,25 17:7 21:15 23:9,9 46:6 54:21 longer 16:4 47:5 look 52:17 61:22 64:15 65:12 73:7 88:18 looked 22:3 55:21,22 107:9 looking 23:25 27:7,17 34:21 45:8 46:16 55:19,25 67:3 71:6 104:23 looks 41:5 44:1 56:15,24,25 57:1 61:5,11 62:18,25 74:19 76:3 82:2 86:3 lot 8:7 40:19 64:7 low 9:6 lower 63:2	making 48:17 82:24 91:11 manager 17:23 18:1,3,5,25 19:9,19,19,23 21:10,24 22:15 23:22,24 24:12 25:15,25 41:25 42:6 43:25 87:2 94:9,15 97:21,24 managers 94:15 manual 65:12 manually 65:14 map 30:14,17 March 17:21 42:25 95:8 mark 1:11 43:7 53:4 80:7 84:20 88:25 93:4 99:5 102:20 marked 43:12 53:10 68:20,25 69:4 80:11 85:1 89:1 93:5 99:12 102:23 Mary 1:6,6 51:21 Massachusetts 59:14 matches 46:1 materials 22:14 22:18 math 57:11 matter 5:13 6:5 6:6 matters 5:20 MC 68:24 MCL 34:12,21 34:23 37:11 40:18 41:11 66:19 89:18 91:5 92:4
L L 58:13 label 43:9 103:3 labelled 58:22 93:11 99:7			M M 58:21 ma'am 51:15 55:10 63:25 99:25 103:21 Macomb 110:4 110:24 Mag 1:12	

Selden-Manor, Jami
6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

Page 119

101:8,9,11 MDOC 34:9 38:13,13 45:24 47:12 MDOC's 28:13 28:16 mean 28:1 29:24 36:11 45:5 48:10 59:1,3 59:17 60:8 61:2,16 66:16 67:18 83:23 86:5,11 92:25 meaning 30:21 means 57:23 58:18,23 59:2 59:6,25 60:2,6 60:12,15,25 61:9 63:9 70:24 82:7 mechanism 21:2 32:3 media 32:19 medications 10:12 meet 12:12,17 12:20 19:16 meetings 13:1 19:16 member 45:11 66:17 75:2 members 62:17 91:7 mentioned 11:17 51:14 85:17 met 11:2 method 107:15 MI 3:7 mic 7:1 Michigan 1:2,14 1:16,24 2:3 3:5 3:13,15,21 5:1 9:22 15:14	16:16,17 17:10 17:11,12,20,25 18:12 26:1,11 27:2 32:22 34:25 36:14 40:23 41:20 45:15 46:24 50:3,20 51:3,8 51:24 52:3 54:1,4,9,13,19 56:4,17 57:9 59:8 62:4,8,11 62:21 63:7,10 63:13,20 68:16 70:15,16 71:10 71:18 72:24 73:6,8 75:16 75:20 77:9,15 77:18,23 89:10 90:15,18 92:5 95:8 96:24 104:5,9 105:5 105:8 107:1 110:3,24 mind 76:8 83:6 mine 7:15 minor 77:24 minutes 106:15 MIRIAM 3:4 missed 42:17 82:21 missing 40:4 45:4 46:18,19 46:21 47:7,17 48:1,13,16,20 79:1 modal 97:13 moment 10:4 Monday 2:5 5:2 monetary 110:16 month 83:4 months 18:6,7 morning 5:11,14	5:15,16 move 25:3 27:22 moved 104:5,9 moving 59:23 82:4 MSOR 19:10,14 23:3,4 40:24 41:3,21 64:20 64:25 65:8,16 66:7,20 67:5 68:1,23 69:3 70:5,12 72:12 75:11 77:2 78:12,23,23 79:16,21 82:12 94:23 97:13 105:3 MSP 52:1,13,19 60:24 61:13 64:12 73:1 82:13,23 89:6 102:11 107:2,2 107:16 MSP's 28:22 97:25 98:7,15 murder 64:4	53:6 57:21 59:20 62:2 64:13 69:14 73:11,13 74:5 75:2 81:8,18 105:20 needed 51:1 67:22 70:24 83:24 87:19 never 83:6 98:9 101:21 108:19 new 18:16 23:11 36:5,13 41:2 65:18,22 66:2 81:22 96:24 97:9 98:10,23 105:7 nine 96:21 nods 6:19 7:6 8:2 non-listed 58:22 non-Michigan 54:3,8,17 56:3 56:9,16,21 70:11 104:5 non-public 30:1 non-verbal 6:19 7:6 8:1 Notary 110:5,23 notation 61:18 61:20 Noted 108:16 notes 53:16 61:22,24 62:1 106:16 110:11 Notice 5:22 6:1 108:9 notification 38:15 105:13 105:14 notified 46:13 104:4,8 notify 105:11 notifying 105:11	number 33:21 38:12,12,13 49:13 50:25 57:12 69:18 80:8 84:20 89:5 numbered 43:8 numbers 22:10 58:10 <hr/> O <hr/> O 59:23 object 8:24 objection 20:4 24:24 26:16,23 27:8,18 28:9 29:4 30:9,15 31:9,20 32:6 32:12,25 33:8 35:2 36:7,15 36:21 37:8,18 38:22 40:5 42:14 44:7 50:5,14 52:5,8 65:2 71:13 72:2 77:5,11 77:13,21 78:4 78:16 79:2,12 96:3,12,17 97:20 98:3 100:4 101:13 102:2 103:17 107:11 obligation 108:6 108:13 obligations 107:17 obscured 89:5 obtain 95:10 obtained 95:14 obviously 18:15 105:10 offender 18:12 26:1,11 27:3
---	--	--	---	--

Selden-Manor, Jami

6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

Page 120

27:24 28:3,13 28:17 29:17 30:13 31:3,14 31:24 34:12 36:14 45:10,11 45:14,16,16 46:19,25 47:2 48:3,4 85:16 96:23 97:5,10 99:4,18 105:4 105:7,12,17,21 offenders 22:25 49:7,7,16 50:1 offense 27:6 32:24 34:2,25 35:9,16 41:21 46:24 47:22 48:3,7 50:4,19 51:2,7,23,25 52:3 54:5,10 56:18 57:10 58:5 59:9,24 60:22 62:9,11 62:12,22 63:7 64:13 65:22,24 66:2,17 67:4 67:12,14 68:19 68:21,22,23 69:2 70:11,15 70:16,17 71:6 71:9,10,19,24 71:25 72:4,5 72:24 73:1 75:16,21,25 77:7,9,19 78:14,22,25 79:5,19,20 81:6,22 88:19 95:15 96:25 97:2,3,7,8,13 98:9,13,18,21 98:22 101:21 102:7,8 offenses 40:23	40:24 41:20 44:25 53:7 54:3,8,12,13 54:17 56:3,5,9 56:16,21 57:8 60:19 63:5,12 63:13,21 65:19 65:20 67:1 83:23 88:15,17 89:10 90:14,17 90:21 92:5 106:25 offhand 71:23 73:1 75:25 office 34:6 94:17 94:22 95:9,10 officer 36:11 official 1:17 98:1 Ohio 51:21,25 okay 7:13 9:3 16:6,15 27:22 57:18 63:4 72:16 76:20,25 82:23 92:1 99:2 106:17 108:4 old 75:8 79:6 97:1,3,7,8 98:8 98:13,21,22 Omni 47:11 onboarding 23:1 once 8:9 12:22 36:19 46:13 66:6 67:24 83:24 104:4,8 107:2,2 ones 24:19 58:13 75:13 91:18,21 operating 24:3,7 24:22 25:8 43:9 44:18 92:14,23 93:10 94:12,19 95:2 99:7 100:15	103:2,25 operations 38:23 order 27:14 40:2 41:10 73:11 80:1 ordered 34:4 ordinary 110:18 organization 5:20,25 originally 54:24 55:6 99:20 103:12 Ottawa 3:14 out-of-state 22:25 24:20 46:20 47:2 49:2,4,6,16 50:1,19 51:2,7 51:19 53:7,25 59:9 60:19 62:9,12 63:21 65:19,22 66:17 70:7 75:13,14 82:14,25 84:13 88:5,9 92:6 outline 104:7 outlined 5:22 24:4 outlines 100:24 103:9,10 outside 15:14 overlap 86:25 oversee 19:2,15 20:18,19 oversees 21:12 87:2,2 <hr/> P <hr/> P 60:4 P63165 3:4 P74126 3:12 P86526 3:3 PACC 22:24	51:13 53:15,17 53:25 55:16 59:7 60:13 65:20,24 66:11 66:18 67:6 68:15,20,24,24 69:3 70:5,15 70:18,23 71:17 72:20 73:7,20 74:18 76:15,22 78:8,11,21 79:19 81:7,14 81:18 82:3 88:14 page 4:3,11 46:16 95:5,5 96:21 104:23 pages 82:2 paragraph 46:17 paralegal 110:15 parole 35:12,12 part 19:7 20:20 49:24 50:10 particular 27:16 42:11 64:10 73:10 parties 5:7 109:4 party 110:13 paying 110:18 PD 19:7 pending 9:15 93:17 103:10 105:20 106:1 people 13:7 23:12 32:17 33:14 94:10 Perfect 6:3,3,11 6:11 8:4,17,23 9:4,19,19 10:11,16,16,21 13:12 14:22 17:19 24:6 26:4 34:21,23	34:23 36:2,2 45:19 47:7 53:4,4,14 54:2 54:2 58:2 61:9 62:24,24 63:4 70:17 71:2,23 76:18 80:7 84:17 88:24 89:9 95:18 99:5 102:20,20 105:25 perfectly 11:24 76:10 perform 105:2 105:20 performed 85:14 performing 17:17 performs 32:4 period 98:18 periods 98:16,19 person 23:10,11 27:5,16 32:23 41:4 66:7 69:7 70:6 72:7,10 73:4 74:22 75:12 76:5 77:2 78:13,24 82:14,19 98:8 98:9,10,11 101:20 110:14 personal 6:5,6 27:24 28:1 personally 22:14 80:21 photos 28:20 physical 28:4 picture 28:4 pictures 28:25 29:3 place 41:14 60:22 68:7 69:14 105:10
--	---	---	---	---

Selden-Manor, Jami
6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

Page 121

110:8 placed 16:9 places 32:10 plaintiffs 1:9 3:9 5:12 51:20 plans 33:5 played 41:6 pleading 34:3 please 9:8 29:14 45:1 54:6 point 8:13 9:10 9:12 37:15,23 39:11 41:24 42:5,11 police 1:16 3:21 17:10,11,12,20 18:1 51:24 75:6 policies 11:7,10 11:16 12:8 20:25 21:5,19 21:22 22:6,7 22:11 24:14,15 104:18 108:14 policy 11:6,20 19:5 33:19,20 36:24,25,25 38:3 43:19,20 44:20,21,23 45:1,2 46:2 49:5,9,12,17 49:21 50:23,24 68:8 69:16,17 74:3 81:16 88:6,8,9,12,20 91:15,16,18,23 94:1 98:7,12 98:15 99:17 100:18,22,23 103:12,22 104:3,7,14,17 104:21 populate 59:21 64:24 73:19,24	populated 68:3 79:20 portal 105:14,15 position 17:22 17:23 18:13,16 19:23 21:9,15 22:5 86:19 98:1,8,16 positions 18:10 possible 48:2,5,9 48:14 86:13 96:8,11 post 96:16 post-1995 102:14 post-2011 83:24 potential 37:17 87:20 potentially 25:1 47:22 54:18 practice 23:18 pre-meeting 12:25 preparation 12:7,12 13:1 prepare 10:25 11:14 12:17 13:13 PRESENT 3:20 previous 18:11 19:23 22:20 23:22,23,25 25:17 43:25 96:25 previously 25:18 49:8,23 64:23 81:22 91:19 96:25 prior 18:12 44:3 prison 34:8 36:12 47:13 101:21 prison/jail 97:7 98:21	private 29:2 privileged 40:6 probably 5:18 14:8 43:2 probation 35:8 35:9 36:11 101:22,23 problem 7:20 9:7 10:3 11:20 14:25 28:16 47:25 procedure 19:5 43:9 44:18 68:8 69:16,17 69:20 93:10,17 93:18 95:2 99:7,18 100:15 100:22 103:2 103:25 procedures 11:11,16 20:22 21:1,5,20,22 22:6,7,11 24:3 24:7,22 25:8 92:14,23 94:12 94:19 108:14 proceeds 6:16 process 23:2 24:25 25:7,10 25:12 33:14,18 34:1,12,24 36:20 37:16,24 38:20,23,24 40:7,13,15 41:14,24 42:2 42:4,12 46:3 49:1,3,6,15,24 50:10,18,22 65:11 68:7 69:19,22 71:4 81:9 82:17 83:2 88:4 93:17 101:5,12 106:5,25	processes 24:1 44:24 produced 57:7 program 78:11 programmed 40:24 41:21 77:1 programs 23:2 promise 108:3 promoted 17:6 proper 65:10 68:15 properly 46:22 81:13 provide 27:24 33:17 44:23 49:14 100:18 108:7 provided 15:13 31:6 38:11 47:25 71:4 provides 35:5 provision 97:11 provisions 98:1 105:1,9 PRP 24:20 public 27:23 29:12,17,22 30:3,13,25 31:3,13,13,13 31:24,25 36:18 37:13 39:23 41:21 45:15,17 69:8 78:14 110:6,23 publication 41:13 45:20 69:15 73:23 76:23 81:17 106:3 publish 68:25 69:4,10 published 26:1 39:20 41:18	46:10 59:24 68:10,19 105:22 pull 34:16,17 43:3 87:18 93:1 purpose 5:17 85:19 95:20,25 110:17 put 6:15 <hr/> Q <hr/> question 7:7 8:12,15,18,20 8:21,25 9:1,2 9:15,16 12:6 12:14 15:3 27:11,12,21 28:15 29:14 37:19 39:1 40:9,14 41:13 42:1,7,18,19 42:23 54:6 64:2,14 65:21 68:14,17 69:1 70:1 73:18,23 78:19 80:2 82:16,18 95:24 96:9,19 questionable 97:14 questions 6:18 7:4 17:11 18:17,23 23:25 49:1 64:19 67:8 70:20 74:14 79:24,25 92:22 94:18,20 106:17,22 107:22,23 108:11,14 quick 92:16 quickly 17:13 34:19 46:17
--	--	---	---	--

Selden-Manor, Jami
6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

Page 122

104:11 quote/unquote 97:12 <hr/> R R 60:24 range 95:10 Rapids 3:7 RC 51:21 re-share 76:15 reach 23:23 47:14 reached 23:22 read 45:5,9 46:18 55:15 95:7 104:25 reading 85:18 reads 97:10 real 92:16 really 9:6 17:13 33:25 34:18 83:22 108:18 108:21,23,24 recall 15:16 83:14 91:25 107:7,8,14,15 107:15,20 recapture 97:11 97:12,15 98:1 receive 21:23 22:14,19,23 23:6 received 5:23 22:2 74:8 87:15 receptionist 16:5 recommends 73:17 record 7:19,21 7:22,23 12:1,2 12:3,4 36:5,13 36:19 38:17,21 39:4,6,11,17	40:16,17,20 42:3,8,19,20 42:25 45:3,3 45:12,19,21,23 46:4,9,13 55:15 61:21 69:25 74:11 76:12,13 92:19 92:20 105:21 105:21 106:11 106:19,20 107:2 108:2,6 108:15 recorded 52:1 records 41:25 42:5 45:10 106:7 recruits 25:24 refer 33:19,20 49:9 50:23 67:7 81:11,12 81:25 82:18 91:1,11 reference 10:18 22:16 91:5,8 referenced 44:18,21 95:3 100:16 104:1 referred 12:8 80:3 91:15 referring 9:24 10:5 22:25 47:18 82:8 91:19 96:23 regard 82:11 register 34:4,5 47:1,3 71:11 77:10 82:15 97:1,5 98:9,11 107:4 registered 47:23 48:3,7 97:1,11 registering 34:5 34:10 48:10	49:4,6 88:4 registers 35:10 35:13 36:4 registrable 34:25 44:25 50:3 54:4,9 57:10 77:23 78:2 90:14,17 106:25 registrant 29:13 29:18 30:14 31:4,15 37:17 37:25 38:10 41:2 46:22 47:19,21 48:2 48:6 50:13 51:22 65:8 66:10,19 79:8 87:20 88:3 100:19 104:5,9 107:6 registrant's 30:17 registrants 27:25 28:5 31:25 32:10 46:13 84:23 87:15,24 88:5 92:7 101:3,6 107:17 registration 33:14,25 34:12 34:24 35:6,17 35:21,25 36:13 37:4,13,16,24 41:15 43:19,20 44:24 45:10,14 48:12 49:2,15 49:25 50:11 51:19 54:14,19 63:14 73:12,15 75:17 78:14 79:22 81:3 84:14,23 98:17	101:18 104:18 105:9,18 106:25 107:17 registry 18:12 26:2,5,11 27:3 27:5,13,15,24 28:3 29:12,18 30:14,18 31:4 31:13,14,24 32:9,17,22 33:7 34:12 36:14 45:11,16 45:17 48:4 69:8 87:13,24 87:25 100:20 102:8,13 relate 17:15 86:22 related 11:10,18 11:22 104:3 108:8 110:12 relates 70:6 relating 19:17 relatively 18:16 relayed 108:9 relevant 5:21 104:21,25 remember 16:5 reminders 6:15 remotely 5:8 rent-to-own 16:3,4 Renz 21:8 repeat 6:25 12:14 28:15 29:14 42:1 47:24 54:6 65:21 96:18 rephrase 8:14 27:13 29:15 37:19 40:14 69:1 78:21 95:23 report 21:13	reporter 6:18 7:5 8:1,6 43:12 53:10 80:11 85:1 89:1 93:5 99:12 102:23 Reporters 110:18 reports 75:7,7 representation 55:19 REPRESENT... 3:21 represented 58:14 represents 97:25 request 105:10 requested 105:11 109:3 requests 18:19 require 19:10 27:14 54:14,18 63:14 70:19 83:10,13 required 39:9 46:10,11 47:4 97:5 requirements 30:7 49:25 50:12 73:12,13 73:15 79:23 81:4 84:24 requires 41:11 75:17 reside 30:21,22 resident 31:6 residents 92:3 resources 17:3 22:15,18 25:23 respective 109:4 respond 6:18 7:4 7:25 104:12 108:11,13 responding 18:18,22
--	---	---	--	---

Selden-Manor, Jami
6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

Page 123

responds 104:3 104:8 responsibilities 17:16 19:1,9 19:13,18,22 23:15 86:21 responsible 21:19,21 35:5 result 39:10 results 29:2 76:22 revealing 12:16 review 11:7,13 11:17,21 12:7 19:5,7 25:10 38:16 40:1,2 41:14,24 42:2 42:21 43:1 45:13,20,21 46:6,14 49:17 52:18 61:3,4 69:19,22 70:19 73:8 74:11 75:9 77:25 84:2 88:12 90:10 92:13 93:17 100:22 105:20 106:1,8 reviewed 11:6 34:14 42:13 45:10 49:5 52:19 61:10 70:9 74:7,10 83:14 reviewing 24:20 39:7 40:16 41:15 42:22,23 53:25 62:1 82:12 reviews 38:2 45:3,23 46:4 revised 44:4,11 44:14 94:1 100:1,13	103:22 revising 25:8 94:6 100:11 revision 44:1 93:23 99:24 103:19 revisions 94:3 94:11,18 100:8 right 10:22 13:15 17:19 18:15 19:9 33:12 43:3 44:2 45:8,25 46:16 48:24,25 52:22,24 55:8 59:15,23 61:23 64:16 67:3 70:7 72:24 78:9 79:18 80:4 83:8 84:12 90:4,15 92:12,12 93:2 96:16 98:24 102:16 106:13 106:14 107:21 ROE 1:6 role 16:22 17:4 19:20 20:3,7 21:9,18 roles 17:14 room 10:10 rows 59:12 62:21 RPR 2:6 110:5 110:22 rule 7:4 8:5 rules 6:12,14 7:24 run 26:10,12,20 26:21 27:2,14 27:15 47:11 running 26:8 101:17,25	S S 62:3,16 sales 110:16 sat 22:4 satellite 7:17 saw 63:2 saying 8:7,8 10:17,19 says 45:9,9 55:16,17,18 58:7 59:24 60:5,11,24 62:3,21 64:4 82:2 90:1 95:7 96:23 105:6,17 105:19 scenario 33:23 106:7 school 13:17,19 13:25 14:2,14 15:23,25 Scott 3:12 107:22 screen 16:14 34:18,19 43:4 43:5 48:25 52:23 76:15 80:5 83:7 84:14 88:21 102:18 106:13 scroll 45:1 56:12 61:22 62:6 64:15 71:21 75:23 84:18 88:24 89:18 SE 3:6 search 26:4,10 27:14,15 48:16 105:2 second 8:4 34:18 43:5 50:10 55:17,22,24 56:3,20 61:11 62:24 72:18	73:5 84:15 section 21:10 45:8 95:7 104:23,24 see 16:15 29:22 29:23,25 30:1 30:3 34:19 42:8,12 43:5 45:17 47:5 51:17 52:24 53:1 55:8,11 55:14 56:18,19 56:22 57:2,3 61:6,13 62:6 62:22 63:1 71:19,20,21 72:18,21 75:19 75:22 76:16,23 76:25 77:16 80:5 82:5 84:15,17,19 85:11 86:9,10 86:16 88:22 89:6 90:2 93:2 93:24 95:15 96:22 99:3,24 102:18 103:19 105:23 seeing 22:10 seen 43:10 53:7 80:9 84:24 85:7 89:11 93:11 99:8 103:3 Selden-Manor 1:22 2:1 4:4 5:5 10:18,20 send 42:4 68:17 73:9,17 senior 83:1 sense 6:1,21 7:8 8:2 9:2 sent 34:6 68:9 68:13 69:25	84:22 105:13 107:9,10 sentenced 34:7 101:22 services 16:23 35:24 set 110:8 seven 56:16 seventeen 75:8 sex 18:12 26:1 26:11 27:2,5 27:23 28:3 29:17 30:13 31:3,13,24 32:18,24 34:2 34:12 36:14 44:25 45:10,11 45:14,15 48:4 85:16 96:25 98:8 sexual 64:11 79:5 share 5:24 34:18 43:4 52:23 53:6 80:4 84:14 88:21 99:1 shared 38:4,15 sharing 48:24 79:17 83:7 106:13 Sharon 82:20,22 84:8 Shawn 21:14 86:1,17 sheet 53:15 55:18,18 56:13 64:6 88:13 sheets 22:20,22 55:21 63:23 sheriff 35:16 show 28:4,7 29:18 31:4 54:2,12 61:6
--	--	--	---	---

Selden-Manor, Jami
6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

Page 124

62:10,11 63:6 72:23 showing 54:7 79:10,15 shows 31:6,14 54:17 74:25 76:22 90:13 93:23 Sible 21:14 86:1 86:17 87:3 side 108:18 Signature 109:3 signed 86:2,16 87:4 94:8 105:8,18 signing 43:24 97:18 signs 25:14 similar 50:3,20 51:3,7,24 52:2 54:4,9,13 56:17 57:9 60:22 62:12 63:24 64:13 71:10,19,24 72:23 73:2 75:16,20 76:1 77:9 88:14 107:10 similarly 1:8 48:6 60:11 single 59:24 sit 21:4 108:20 situated 1:8 situation 81:23 102:12 104:13 situations 70:9 small 53:1 55:12 55:13 90:1 smaller 84:17 social 32:18 35:24 sodomy 76:21 77:3,23 78:1	78:13,22,24 Somebody 81:1 something's 60:2 SOR 11:11 18:1 19:2,19,19 20:10,22 21:11 21:12,24 23:14 23:22,24 24:4 24:6,7,12,13 25:8,15,25 34:6 36:6 37:7 37:16,24 38:2 38:4,6,14 42:9 42:24 43:9,25 44:19,21 45:4 45:11 46:21 47:7,23 48:15 49:23,24 50:18 51:5 53:17,20 59:20 62:17 63:19 64:3,9 64:13 66:6,17 67:4,16,19,24 72:7,9,13 74:22 75:2 80:22 82:10 84:10 86:24 87:1,14,15,19 90:23,25 91:4 91:7,10,10 92:13,23 93:10 94:10,15 95:3 97:12 98:2 99:7 100:16 101:23 103:2 104:1,4,7,12 107:16 108:24 SOR-OP-307 43:10 SOR-OP-308 99:8 SOR-OP-315 93:11	SOR-OP-322 103:3 SORA 29:13,19 30:7 83:9,12 83:19 86:22 102:1 SORNA 88:19 105:13,14 sorry 8:9,19 10:2 11:19,25 16:14 26:12 28:15 29:7 42:17 52:6 82:21 86:5 92:15 96:9 sort 61:5 62:19 62:24 63:3 sorting 62:18 sound 9:17 44:2 90:4 SOUTHERN 1:3 speak 5:20 13:4 speaking 8:9 specialist 17:6 specific 23:2 27:7 38:17 39:4 40:24 50:18 73:10 91:5 specifically 5:21 37:1 75:15 speed 23:14 spent 23:10 97:6 98:21 spouse 64:11 staff 11:2 13:8 23:13,19,20 36:12 45:11 53:21,22 62:17 66:6,17 67:4 67:16,19 74:22 75:2 80:22 82:23 91:1,2,7	91:11 94:10 stamp 53:5 stamped 93:9 99:6 102:21 stand 58:11,16 standards 20:22 stands 58:8 start 17:19 66:6 102:9 started 8:9 17:5 53:22 starting 24:24 40:5 starts 23:11 39:7 74:13 101:17 101:25 state 1:14,16 3:21 15:13 16:17 17:10,11 17:12,20,25 22:24 32:21 33:5 38:12,24 40:7 47:4 50:12 51:24 53:15 54:14 56:23 63:14 68:16 75:7 80:18 81:1,2 92:5 103:11 104:4,9 105:11 105:12 108:5 108:15 110:3,6 stated 98:12 statement 108:2 states 1:1 85:13 97:14 98:20 101:4 105:1 status 73:23 106:3 statute 34:11 64:10 69:4 74:17 77:25 83:14 statutory 58:2	stays 52:24 stenographic 110:11 step 82:5 steps 69:14 80:18 81:13 88:2 104:16 stipulate 5:7 stop 48:24 79:17 83:7 106:13 Street 3:6,14 studying 14:9,11 subject 48:11 submit 31:25 subsection 97:10 105:1,6,17,19 substantially 50:3,20 51:2,7 51:24 52:2 54:4,9,13 56:17 57:9 60:22 62:12 64:12 71:10,18 71:24 72:23 73:2 75:16,20 76:1 77:8 Suite 3:6 supervise 18:22 20:2,2,7,8,12 20:15 23:21 supervision 20:20 supervisor 21:7 supposed 62:10 62:11 sure 7:4 9:6,9 10:17 11:10 15:16,16 28:3 29:15,21 36:2 40:15 42:2 45:6,24,25 49:12 54:7 55:5,8,13 57:12 62:7
--	---	---	---	--

Selden-Manor, Jami
6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

Page 125

70:2 74:4 76:19 78:1 83:22 87:23 104:7 106:16 108:19 switch 25:16 33:12 48:25 84:12 102:17 sworn 5:8 110:10 system 19:17 28:14,17 37:11 47:12 68:4 69:7 78:11 systematic 84:2 systems 47:11	talked 12:11,16 17:15 18:11 21:18 63:5 81:7 90:12 104:18 talking 84:13 talks 95:6 team 17:24 tech 40:15 42:13 45:23,23 technical 36:20 technician 17:5 23:8 38:18 39:5,7 40:1,12 68:19,21,22 69:2 74:12,13 75:6 81:8 82:10 88:18 105:2,7,19 technicians 19:3 72:14 technology 15:14 techs 20:10,12 20:21 21:21 23:20 39:18 42:3,3 teenagers 79:6 teens 78:2 tell 86:1 telling 12:11 temp 16:9 ten 14:8 19:2 20:8 48:11 95:5,5 105:3 ten-minute 76:9 terms 6:13 testified 5:10 24:2 49:24 testify 5:9 108:8 110:10 testimony 50:11 64:24 thank 7:2 9:9	15:6 25:5 40:10 41:12 108:18 109:1 Thanks 39:2 thing 6:17 7:3 9:14 56:20 62:18,25 84:18 102:16 things 24:25 40:19 108:20 108:22 think 12:6 15:1 16:3 56:13 57:20 108:17 thinks 51:24 64:12 third 8:12 thirteen 17:6 thought 63:2 thousand 56:15 57:8 63:4 three 16:19 18:7 55:18,18 58:14 86:7 104:23 105:19 106:8 three-letter 58:15 three-plus 108:20 throw 33:23 tier 37:4 39:23 40:12,17,20,23 41:4,6,10 46:22 47:1,3 47:19,22,23 48:2,3,7,7,17 48:18,21 51:22 51:25 52:14,20 53:16 54:1 59:5,7,8,15,17 59:21 60:18 64:24 65:7,10 66:9,9,13,21 67:2,5,14 68:4	68:15 69:13 70:4,6,12,22 70:25 72:4,10 72:20 73:19,20 74:5,19 75:12 76:5,22 77:2,3 78:13,22,24 79:7 82:9 83:20 89:10,19 90:13 91:11 92:2 97:3,3 98:13,13 100:19,19 101:2,3 107:8 tiered 46:19 70:18 82:3 88:19 tiering 45:4 46:18 48:1 67:12 88:15,17 tiers 37:11 81:17 83:10,13,24 Tillman 3:3 4:7 5:11,12,16 6:3 6:6,9,11,24 7:3 7:13,20,23 8:4 8:17,20,23 9:4 9:9,19,23 10:3 10:7,11,16,21 10:24 12:1,4,5 20:6 25:3,6 26:17,24 27:10 27:19 28:10 29:6 30:10,16 31:10,21 32:8 32:14 33:2,9 35:4 36:8,16 36:22 37:9,19 37:22 38:25 39:3 40:9,11 42:16 43:15 44:8 50:7,15 52:6,9,10 53:13 65:3	71:14 72:3 76:10,14 77:6 77:14,22 78:5 78:20 79:4,13 80:14 85:4 89:4 92:18,21 93:8 96:4,14 96:19,20 97:22 98:4 99:15 100:5 101:14 102:4 103:1,18 106:14,21,23 107:12,21 108:1,5,17 time 7:9 35:20 40:21 52:7,12 83:20,21 85:23 97:6,6,8 98:20 98:21,22 101:5 102:10 108:19 108:22 110:8 times 12:20 42:25 tips 31:25 title 57:2 today 5:24 8:24 9:21 10:14 11:1 13:10 57:16 108:10 108:19 today's 5:17 told 13:10 Tool 28:23 top 22:12 34:15 49:20 77:19 88:7 101:10,19 104:20 topics 5:25 108:8 totally 57:16 track 51:6,10 Tracking 28:13 28:17 train 64:19
---	--	--	---	--

Selden-Manor, Jami

6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

Page 126

trained 23:2	46:6 84:6	63:19 64:3,9	version 44:15,16	64:8 104:18
training 15:9	104:12	64:13 66:6	44:17	Wealthy 3:6
21:23 22:1,2,3		67:16,19,24	victim 46:25	weather 7:17
23:6,8	U	72:7,14 74:22	60:5,7,11,17	website 30:14
trainings 15:12	uh-huh 30:22	75:2 80:22	60:21 67:13,15	31:4,14,24
15:13,17 19:7	41:12 45:22	82:10,13 84:10	67:17,25 74:20	45:16,17
22:8	52:16 56:23	87:1,14,15,19	75:3,8 78:8	went 14:3 16:16
transcript 4:12	91:22	90:23,25 91:4	79:1	16:21 22:4
110:11,17,20	ultimately 73:14	91:10 92:23	victim's 67:10	25:18 61:3,4
transcripts	un-sharing	94:11,15 95:3	video 110:17	83:19 85:15
110:20	98:25 102:18	100:16 104:1,3	videoconference	86:14 90:10
Tri-County	unable 95:10	104:4,8,12	1:23 2:2 5:7	101:21
110:18	underage 78:1	107:16 108:24	110:9	weren't 27:17
true 26:14 31:8	understand	UNITED 1:1	vs 1:10	West 3:14 13:20
31:18 37:12	27:11,12,21	University 14:18		whichever 47:4
110:10	76:19 78:18	unpublished	W	WHITMER
truth 5:9,9,10	understanding	39:20 41:18	walk 57:18	1:13
110:10	21:17 50:4,13	unsure 68:14	104:11	wi-fi 11:23
truthfully 10:14	51:18 57:19,22	91:9	walked 106:24	withdraw 39:1
try 7:24 8:10,15	62:14 65:1	updated 55:5	want 6:14 9:20	64:1 96:19
11:23 15:3	66:16 70:2,4	65:25 89:23	10:17 14:21	withdrawn 40:9
43:3 102:17	76:3 92:24	90:1	15:21 18:16	witness 4:3 5:6,8
trying 33:24	100:23 103:10	updating 84:6	29:20 39:19	5:15 6:2,5,8,10
twenty 17:8	understood 8:18	use 54:21 64:5	57:18,22 71:2	6:22 7:2,10,15
twenty-five 47:1	8:21 30:4	72:16 80:25	76:18 80:1	8:3,16,19,22
47:3 48:12	unhid 58:14	81:2,14,19,24	92:13,22 96:21	9:3,5,18,22
97:4 98:14	unhide 57:21	92:6,10 95:11	107:1 108:1,5	10:1,6,9,15,20
107:4	Union 3:5	95:14 96:2	108:15,18	20:5 29:5 32:7
twenty-five-ye...	unit 11:11 18:1	user 27:5,15	wanted 5:17	37:21 42:15
98:17 100:20	19:2,5,19,19	uses 49:24 53:20	17:12 36:2	50:6 77:12
101:7	20:10,22 21:11	90:25 91:4	wants 64:12	78:18 79:3
twenty-plus	21:12,24 23:14	usually 6:20 9:1	wasn't 13:11	96:13,18 97:21
15:18	23:22,24 24:4	51:10 67:7	83:21 84:5	108:7,10,13
twice 12:24	24:7,12,13		90:5,11 98:6	109:1,3 110:9
two 14:13 16:7,8	25:8,15,25	V	102:10	110:15
23:10 47:2	34:6 37:7,16	Vaguely 89:15	way 6:16 52:24	word 8:7
55:18 56:15,16	37:24 38:2,4,6	various 89:10	56:12 79:16	work 15:22,22
57:8,8 63:4,4	38:15,18 42:9	vendor 19:10,14	we'll 53:4 88:25	16:2,16 18:11
104:23 105:17	42:24 44:19,21	19:16	we're 7:17 8:7,8	19:10 23:12
106:22	45:4,11 46:21	verbally 6:18,21	9:20 24:20	25:17 30:23
two- 58:15	47:7 48:15	7:5,8,25	33:24 38:23	51:19 67:16
type 15:15 32:4	49:23,24 50:18	verification	47:17 55:19,25	worked 16:9,14
33:5 41:17	51:5 53:18,20	89:11 90:13	108:23	16:23 25:1,22
typically 38:9	59:20 62:17	verify 89:20	we've 21:17 64:5	working 17:20

Selden-Manor, Jami
6/12/2023

FIRM #8093

Page 127

19:13 31:15 works 19:15 76:20 worries 92:18 wouldn't 42:8 66:12 96:2 write 93:21 writing 24:9,14 87:5 written 24:7 85:24 93:19 98:6 99:20 103:13 wrong 10:19 64:6 wrote 43:20 86:6 95:18 97:16 99:22 103:15 <hr/> X <hr/> Y Y 59:3 yeah 28:16 42:2 47:25 62:7 68:23 76:10 106:18 year 13:21 14:4 14:19 17:1 83:17 years 14:8 15:18 16:7,8,12,19 17:8 47:1,3 48:11,12 75:8 97:4 98:14 101:22 <hr/> Z zero 61:12 zoom 9:20 56:4 <hr/> 0 000 43:8 0000716 89:6 0000722 53:5	0000723 80:8 0000725 93:9 0000774 43:8 0000911 99:6 0001624 102:21 0001653 84:20 0001673 84:21 01/20/2010 95:13 07/01/2020 95:15 07/1/2020 95:14 07/16/2021 55:17 <hr/> 1 10 4:7 10:11 53:12 10:46 76:12 102 4:21 11.41.458 71:7,8 11.41.460 72:17 11:00 76:13 11:05 80:13 11:13 85:3 11:18 89:3 11:23 92:19 11:30 92:20 11:31 93:7 11:39 99:14 11:43 102:25 11:48 106:19 11:55 106:20 11:58 109:2 12 2:5 5:2 12/18/2027 110:25 12th 103:19 13-14 64:8 13-1406.1 64:11 13A-6-20 74:16 74:17 1514 3:6 16-6-2 76:21	1626 102:22 1655 84:21 16th 56:5,10 1990 101:21 102:1,13 1995 83:19 101:24 102:1 1996 13:22 19th 17:21 <hr/> 2 2:22-cv-10209 1:10 2011 83:10,13 84:4 2016 6:8 2019 14:21 2020 14:21 2021 56:6,10 95:8 98:2 2022 44:2,12 57:13 93:23 99:24 100:13 103:19 2023 2:5 5:2 42:25 86:8,9 21st 44:2,12 23 17:21 24th 86:9 260 3:6 272-16 59:14 27th 86:8 28.724 34:13,21 34:23 2907.04 51:21 2nd 93:23 95:8 <hr/> 3 3 41:3 3/24/2021 96:24 98:11 30(b)(6) 5:18 108:7 308 99:7	315 93:10 322 103:2 <hr/> 4 43 4:14 48933 3:15 49506 3:7 4th 99:24 100:13 <hr/> 5 517.335.7573 3:16 525 3:14 53 4:15 <hr/> 6 6/17/2023 110:20 6/24/21 90:2 6/29 56:24 6/29/2022 56:25 57:2 616.301.0930 3:8 <hr/> 7 717 89:6 724 80:8 739 93:9 776 43:8 <hr/> 8 80 4:16 85 4:17 89 4:18 8951 110:22 <hr/> 9 9:01 1:25 2:4 5:3 9:04 7:21,22 9:09 12:2 9:11 12:3 9:57 43:14 913 99:6 93 4:19	96 13:22 99 4:20
---	---	--	---	-----------------------------------